arXiv:1611.10218v1 [math.OA] 30 Nov 2016

LOW RANK COMPACT OPERATORS AND TINGLEY'S PROBLEM

FRANCISCO J. FERNÁNDEZ-POLO AND ANTONIO M. PERALTA

Abstract. Let E and B be arbitrary weakly compact JB*-triples whose unit spheres are denoted by $S(E)$ and $S(B)$, respectively. We prove that every surjective isometry $f : S(E) \to S(B)$ admits an extension to a surjective real linear isometry $T : E \to B$. This is a complete solution to Tingley's problem in the setting of weakly compact JB*-triples. Among the consequences, we show that if $K(H, K)$ denotes the space of compact operators between arbitrary complex Hilbert spaces H and K, then every surjective isometry $f : S(K(H, K)) \to S(K(H, K))$ admits an extension to a surjective real linear isometry $T : K(H, K) \to K(H, K)$.

1. Introduction

It does not seem an easy task to write an introductory paragraph for a problem which has been open since 1987. As in most important problems, the precise question is easy to pose and reads as follows: Let X and Y be normed spaces, whose unit spheres are denoted by $S(X)$ and $S(Y)$, respectively. Suppose $f : S(X) \to S(Y)$ is a surjective isometry. The so-called *Tingley's problem* asks wether f can be extended to a real linear (bijective) isometry $T : X \to Y$ between the corresponding spaces (see [35]).

The problem was named after D. Tingley proved in [35, THEOREM, page 377] that every surjective isometry $f : X \to Y$ between the unit spheres of two finite dimensional spaces satisfies $f(-x) = -f(x)$ for every $x \in S(X)$.

Readers interested in a classic motivation, can sail back to the celebrated Mazur-Ulam theorem asserting that every surjective isometry between two normed spaces over $\mathbb{R}$ is a real affine function. In a subsequent paper, P. Mankiewicz established in [27] that, given two convex bodies $V \subset X$ and $W \subset Y$, every surjective isometry g from V onto W can be uniquely extended to an affine isometry from X onto Y. Consequently, every surjective isometry between the closed unit balls of two Banach spaces X and Y extends uniquely to a real linear isometric isomorphism from X into Y.

With this historical background in mind, Tingley's problem asks whether the conclusion in Mankiewicz's theorem remains true when we deal with the unit sphere whose interior is empty. In other words, when an isometric identification of the unit spheres of two normed spaces can produce an isometric (linear) identification of the spaces.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 47B49, Secondary 46A22, 46B20, 46B04, 46A16, 46E40, .

Key words and phrases. Tingley's problem; extension of isometries; JB*-triples; compact operators.

As long as we know, Tingley's problem remains open even for surjective isometries between the unit spheres of a pair of 2 dimensional Banach spaces. However, positive answers haven been established in a wide range of classical Banach spaces. In an interesting series of papers, G.G. Ding proved that Tingley's problem admits a positive answer for every surjective isometry $f : S(\ell^p(\Gamma)) \to S(\ell^p(\Delta))$ with $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ (see [10, 11, 12] and [13]). More recently, D. Tan showed that the same conclusion remains true for every surjective isometry $f : S(L^p(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)) \to S(Y)$, where (Ω, Σ, μ) is a σ-finite measure space, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, and Y is a Banach space (compare [30, 31] and [32]). A result of R.S. Wang in [36] proves that for each pair of locally compact Hausdorff spaces L_1 and L_2, every surjective isometry $f : S(C_0(L_1)) \to S(C_0(L_1))$ admits an extension to a surjective real linear isometry from $C_0(L_1)$ onto $C_0(L_1)$. V. Kadets and M. Martín gave another positive answer to Tingley's problem in the case of finite dimensional polyhedral Banach spaces (see [22]). The surveys [14] and [37] contain a detailed revision of these results and additional references.

In the setting of C*-algebras, R. Tanaka recently establishes in [33] that every surjective isometry from the unit sphere of a finite dimensional C*-algebra N into the unit sphere of another C*-algebra M admits a unique extension to a surjective real linear isometry from N onto N. More recently, Tanaka also proves in [34] that the same conclusion holds when N and M are finite von Neumann algebras.

As a result of a recent collaboration between the second author of this note and R. Tanaka (see [29]), new positive answers to Tingley´s problem have been revealed for spaces of compact operators. Concretely, denoting by $K(H)$ the C*-algebra of all compact operators on a complex Hilbert space H, it is shown that for every pair of complex Hilbert spaces H and H', every surjective isometry $f : S(K(H)) \to S(K(H'))$ admits a unique extension to a real linear isometry T from $K(H)$ onto $K(H')$; and the same conclusion also holds when $K(H)$ and $K(H')$ are replaced by arbitrary compact C*-algebras (compare [29, Theorem 3.14]). The novelties in the just quoted note rely on the introduction of Jordan techniques to tackle Tingley's problem. From the wider point of view of weakly compact JB*-triples, it is established that if E and B are weakly compact JB*-triples not containing direct summands of rank smaller than or equal to 3, and with rank greater than or equal to 5, then surjective isometry $f : S(E) \to S(B)$ admits a unique extension to a surjective real linear isometry from E onto B (compare [29, Theorem 3.13] and see below for the concrete definitions of weakly compact JB*-triples).

The case of surjective isometries between the unit spheres of two weakly compact JB*-triples of rank between 2 and 4 was a left as an open problem in [29]. This problem affects particularly interesting cases including spin factors, finite dimensional Cartan factors, and space of the form $K(H, H')$ where H and H' are complex Hilbert spaces with $\dim(H) = \infty$ and $2 \leq \dim(H') \leq 4$. In this paper we complete the study left open in [29], solving Tingley's problem in the remaining cases of weakly compact JB*-triples of low rank and providing a complete solution of Tingley's problem for surjective isometries between the unit spheres of two weakly compact JB*-triples. Besides Jordan techniques, the arguments applied in this note are strongly based on new geometric properties for Cartan factors, and results in Functional Analysis and Operator algebras.

In order to have a precise idea of the results explored in this paper, it seems necessary to arrange the definition of those complex Banach spaces called *Cartan*

factors. All Banach spaces considered in this note are complex. Let H and H' be complex Hilbert spaces, and let $j : H \to H$ be a conjugation (i.e., a conjugate linear isometry of order two) on H. A Banach space is called a *Cartan factor of type 1* if it coincides with the space $L(H, H')$ of all bounded linear operators from H into H'. To understand the next Cartan factors let $^t : L(H) \to L(H)$ be the complex linear involution on $L(H)$ defined by $x^t = jx^*j$ $(x \in L(H))$. The spaces

$$C_2 = \{x \in L(H) : x^t = -x\} \text{ and } C_3 = \{x \in L(H) : x^t = x\}$$

are closed subspaces of $L(H)$ called *Cartan factors of type 2 and 3*, respectively. A Banach space X is called a *Cartan factor of type 4* or *spin* if X admits a complete inner product $(.|.)$ and a conjugation $x \mapsto \overline{x}$, for which the norm of X is given by

$$\|x\|^2 = (x|x) + \sqrt{(x|x)^2 - |(x|\overline{x})|^2}.$$

Cartan factors of types 5 and 6 (also called *exceptional* Cartan factors) are all finite dimensional. We refer to [6] for additional details.

According to the terminology employed by L. Bunce and Ch.-H. Chu in [3], associated with each Cartan factor we find an *elementary JB*-triple*. The elementary JB*-triples of types 1 to 6 $(K_1, \ldots, K_6)$ are defined as follows: types 4, 5 and 6 are precisely the Cartan factors of the same type, i.e. $K_4 = C_4$, $K_5 = C_5$, and $K_6 = C_6$. For the other types, we introduce some additional notation. The symbol $K(H, H')$ will denote the compact linear operators from H into H'. The elementary JB*-triples of types 1, 2 and 3 are $K_1 = K(H, H')$, $K_2 = C_2 \cap K(H)$, and $K_3 = C_3 \cap K(H)$, respectively.

Elementary JB*-triples are the building blocks of weakly compact JB*-triple, more precisely, every weakly compact JB*-triple can be written as a c_0-sum of a family of elementary JB*-triples (see [3, Lemma 3.3 and Theorem 3.4]).

The main result in this note (Theorem 4.1) establishes that every surjective isometry $f : S(E) \to S(B)$ between the unit spheres of two arbitrary weakly compact JB*-triples admits a unique extension to a surjective real linear isometry $T : E \to B$. The proof, which requires a substantial technical novelty, is divided into different partial results. In Section 4 we explore Tingley's problem when the domain is an elementary JB*-triple of type 1, 2, 3, spin or finite dimensional (see Theorems 4.4, 4.5, 4.6, 4.7, and 4.9). Previously, in Section 3, we establish additional properties satisfied by every surjective isometry between the unit spheres of two elementary JB*-triples. This geometric study complete the result obtained in [29] for elementary JB*-triples with rank greater than or equal to 5. For completeness reasons, the structure of the paper is supplemented with a subsection with basic notions, results and references applied in this note.

It seems natural to conclude this introduction with a desiderata; once Tingley's problem has been solved for compact operators, compact C*-algebras and weakly compact JB*'triples, the unexplored frontier appears now in the case of $B(H)$, general von Neumann algebras and C*-algebras, and other operator spaces.

1.1. **Basic background on JB*-triples.** In this subsection we revisit the basic notions and result in JB*-triples. The reader who is familiar with these concepts can simply skip this part.

Throughout this note the symbol $\mathcal{B}_X$ will stand for the closed unit ball of a Banach space X.

Let H and H' a pair of complex Hilbert spaces. In most of cases, the space $L(H,H')$ is not a C*-algebra. An example of this claim appears when H is finite dimensional and H' is infinite dimensional. However, the operator norm and the triple product defined by

$$\{a,b,c\} = \frac{1}{2}(ab^*c + cb^*a) \ (a,b,c \in L(H,H')) \tag{1}$$

equip $L(H,H')$ with a structure of JB*-triple in the sense introduced by W. Kaup in [24]. A *JB*-triple* is a complex Banach space E admitting a continuous triple product $\{a,b,c\}$ which is conjugate linear in b and linear and symmetric in a and c, and satisfies the following axioms:

(JB*1) $L(a,b)L(c,d) - L(c,d)L(a,b) = L(L(a,b)(c),d) - L(c,L(b,a)(d))$, for every a,b,c,d in E, where $L(a,b)$ is the operator on E defined by $L(a,b)(x) = \{a,b,x\}$;

(JB*2) $L(a,a)$ is an hermitian operator on E with non-negative spectrum;

(JB*3) $\|\{a,a,a\}\| = \|a\|^3$, for every $a \in E$.

Examples of JB*-triples include the spaces $L(H,H')$, the spaces $K(H,H')$ of all compact operators between two complex Hilbert spaces, complex Hilbert spaces, and all C*-algebras equipped with the same product defined in (1). JB*-triples constitute a category which produces a Jordan model valid to generalize C*-algebras. Every JB*-algebra is a JB*-triple under the triple product

$$\{a,b,c\} = (a \circ b^*) \circ c + (c \circ b^*) \circ a - (a \circ c) \circ b^*.$$

Cartan factors and elementary JB*-triples of types 1, 2 and 3 are JB*-triples with respect to the product given in (1). Spin factors are JB*-triples when equipped with the product $\{x,y,z\} = (x|y)z + (z|y)x - (x|\overline{z})\overline{y}$. The exceptional Cartan factor C_6 is a JB*-algebra and C_5 is a JB*-subtriple of C_6.

A closed subtriple I of a JB*-triple E is called an *ideal* in E if $\{I,E,E\} + \{E,I,E\} \subseteq I$ holds. A JB*-triple which cannot be decomposed into the direct sum of two non-trivial closed ideals is called a *JB*-triple factor*.

Those JB*-triples which are also dual Banach spaces are called *JBW*-triples*. Therefore, von Neumann algebras are examples of JBW*-triples. Analogously as in the case of von Neumann algebras, JBW*-triples admit a unique (isometric) predual and their triple product is separately weak*-continuous (see [1]). The bidual, E^{**}, of a JB*-triple, E, is a JBW*-triple with a triple product extending the triple product of E (cf. [9]). Cartan factors are all JBW*-triple factors.

Partial isometries in a C*-algebra can be generalized to tripotents in a JB*-triple. More concretely, an element u in a JB*-triple E is a *tripotent* if $\{u,u,u\} = u$. The set of all tripotents in a JB*-triple E will be denoted by the symbol $\mathcal{U}(E)$. For each tripotent u in E, the mappings $P_i(u) : E \to E_i(u)$, $(i = 0,1,2)$, defined by

$$P_2(u) = L(u,u)(2L(u,u) - id_E), \ P_1(u) = 4L(u,u)(id_E - L(u,u)), \tag{2}$$
$$\text{and } P_0(u) = (id_E - L(u,u))(id_E - 2L(u,u)),$$

are contractive linear projections. Each $P_j(u)$ is known as the *Peirce-j* projection induced by u, and corresponds to the projection of E onto the eigenspace $E_j(u)$ of $L(u,u)$ corresponding to the eigenvalue $\frac{j}{2}$. The Peirce decomposition of E relative to u writes E in the form

$$E = E_2(u) \oplus E_1(u) \oplus E_0(u).$$

The following *Peirce rules* are satisfied,

$$\{E_2(u), E_0(u), E\} = \{E_0(u), E_2(u), E\} = 0, \tag{3}$$

$$\{E_i(u), E_j(u), E_k(u)\} \subseteq E_{i-j+k}(u), \tag{4}$$

where $E_{i-j+k}(u) = 0$ whenever $i - j + k \notin \{0, 1, 2\}$ (compare [19]). It follows from (2) and the separate weak*-continuity of the triple product that Peirce projections associated with a tripotent in a JBW*-triple are weak* continuous. It is further known that $E_2(u)$ is a JB*-algebra with product and involution determined by $a \circ_u b = \{a, u, b\}$ and $a^{\sharp_u} = \{u, a, u\}$, respectively.

A non-zero tripotent u is called *minimal* if $E_2(u) = \mathbb{C}u$, *complete* if the Peirce subspace $E_0(u)$ vanishes, and *unitary* if $E = E_2(e)$.

Two tripotents u, e in E are said to be *orthogonal* (written $e \perp u$) if $\{e, e, u\} = 0$ (or equivalently, $\{u, u, e\} = 0$). Actually, the relation $\perp$ can be considered on the whole E by defining $a \perp b$ if $\{a, a, b\} = 0$ (see [5, §1] for additional details). It is known that orthogonal elements in E are geometrically M-orthogonal (see [19, Lemma 1.3] and [5, Lemma 1.1]), i.e.

$$a \perp b \Rightarrow \|a \pm b\| = \max\{\|a\|, \|b\|\}. \tag{5}$$

The relation of orthogonality is adequate to define a partial order in $\mathcal{U}(E)$ given by $u \leq e$ if $e - u$ is a tripotent orthogonal to e (see, for example, [19] or [20]). The set $\mathcal{U}(E)$ is a lattice with respect to the partial order $\leq$. A tripotent u in a JBW*-triple W is minimal if and only if it is a minimal element in the lattice $(\mathcal{U}(E), \leq)$.

A subset $\mathcal{S}$ in a JB*-triple E is called *orthogonal* if $0 \notin \mathcal{S}$ and $x \perp y$ for every $x \neq y$ in $\mathcal{S}$. The *rank* of E (denoted by $\text{rank}(E)$ or by $r(E)$) is the minimal cardinal r satisfying that $\sharp\mathcal{S} \leq r$ for every orthogonal subset of E (compare [25]). The rank of a tripotent e in E is defined as the rank of the JB*-triple $E_2(e)$. JB*-triples of finite rank are all reflexive, they are described, for example in [2]. It is known that in a Cartan factor C, the rank of C is precisely the minimal cardinal r satisfying that $\sharp\mathcal{S} \leq r$ for every orthogonal subset of minimal tripotents in E (see [25, §3 or Theorem 5.8] and [2]).

Let u, v be tripotents in a JB*-triple E. We say that u and v are *collinear* (written $u \top v$) if $u \in E_1(v)$ and $v \in E_1(u)$.

Given $u, v \in \mathcal{U}(E)$ with $u \in E_l(v)$ for some $l = 0, 1, 2$ then

$$P_k(u)P_j(v) = P_j(v)P_k(u), \tag{6}$$

for all $j, k \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ (compare [20, (1.9) and (1.10)]).

There are JB*-triples E for which $\mathcal{U}(E)$ is empty. However, since in a JB*-triple E the extreme points of its closed unit ball are precisely the complete tripotents in E (see [26, Proposition 3.3]), we can obviously conclude, via Krein-Milman theorem, that every JBW*-triple contains a huge set of tripotents.

For each normal functional φ in the predual of a JBW*-triple W, there exists a unique tripotent $u \in W$ (called the *support tripotent* of φ in W) satisfying $\varphi = \varphi P_2(u)$ and $\varphi|_{M_2(u)}$ is a faithful positive normal functional on the JBW*-algebra $W_2(u)$ (see [19, Proposition 2]). The support tripotent of a normal functional φ will be denoted by $e(\varphi)$. Suppose u is another tripotent in W such that $\varphi(u) = 1 = \|\varphi\|$. It follows from the arguments in [19, Propositions 1 and 2] and their proofs that

$u = s(\varphi) + P_0(s(\varphi))(u)$ (i.e. $e \leq u$). Actually, the same arguments show the following:

$$x \in W, \text{ with } \|x\| = 1 = \varphi(x) = \|\varphi\| \Rightarrow x = s(\varphi) + P_0(s(\varphi))(x). \tag{7}$$

By Proposition 4 in [19] we also know that minimal tripotents in a JBW*-triple W are precisely the support tripotents of the extreme points of the closed unit ball of its predual.

Suppose x is an element in a JB*-triple E. The symbol E_x will denote the JB*-subtriple generated by x, that is, the closed subspace generated by all odd powers of the form $x^{[1]} := x$, $x^{[3]} := \{x, x, x\}$, and $x^{[2n+1]} := \left\{x, x, x^{[2n-1]}\right\}$, $(n \in \mathbb{N})$. It is known that E_x is JB*-triple isomorphic (and hence isometric) to a commutative C*-algebra in which x is a positive generator (cf. [24, Corollary 1.15]). Suppose x is a norm-one element. The sequence $(x^{[2n-1]})$ converges in the weak*-topology of E^{**} to a tripotent (called the *support tripotent* of x) $u(x)$ in E^{**} (see [16, Lemma 3.3] or [15, page 130]).

C.M. Edwards and G.T. Rüttimann developed in [17] an analogue to the notion of compact projections in the bidual of a C*-algebra in the more general setting of JB*-triples. A tripotent e in the second dual, E^{**}, of a JB*-triple E is said to be *compact-G_δ* if there exists a norm one element a in E satisfying $u(a) = e$. A tripotent e in E^{**} is called *compact* if $e = 0$ or it is the infimum of a decreasing net of compact-G_δ tripotents in E^{**}. The symbol $\mathcal{U}_c(E^{**})$ will stand for the lattice consisting of those compact tripotents in E^{**} equipped with the order $\leq$.

Theorem 3.4 in [4] shows that minimal tripotents in E^{**} are compact, and consequently the relation $\min \mathcal{U}(E^{**}) \subseteq \min \mathcal{U}_c(E^{**})$ holds, where "min" denotes the minimal elements in the corresponding lattice. It is also observed in [4, page 47, comments after Theorem 3.4] that

$$\min \mathcal{U}(E^{**}) = \min \mathcal{U}_c(E^{**}). \tag{8}$$

The weak*-closed ideal $J(v)$ generated by a minimal tripotent v in a JBW*-triple W, is precisely a Cartan factor. It is further know that W writes as the direct orthogonal sum of $J(v)$ and another weak*-closed of W (see [8, MAIN THEOREM in page 302]).

2. Tingley's problem for weakly compact JB*-triples which are not factors

In order to resume the study of surjective isometries between the unit spheres of two weakly compact JB*-triples, we start by recalling a result in [29].

Proposition 2.1. [29, Propositions 3.2 and 3.9] *Let E and B be weakly compact JB*-triples, and suppose that $f : S(E) \to S(B)$ is a surjective isometry. Then the following statements hold:*

(a) *For each finite rank tripotent e in E there exists a unique finite rank tripotent u in B such that $f((e + \mathcal{B}_{E_0^{**}(e)}) \cap E) = (u + \mathcal{B}_{B_0^{**}(u)}) \cap B$;*
(b) *The restriction of f to each norm closed face of $\mathcal{B}_E$ is an affine function;*
(c) *For each finite rank tripotent e in E there exists a unique finite rank tripotent u in B and a surjective real linear isometry $T_e : E_0(e) \to B_0(u)$ such that*

$$f(e + x) = u + T_e(x),$$

for every $x \in \mathcal{B}_{E_0(e)}$, and $T_e(x) = f(x)$ for all $x \in S(E_0(e))$;

(*d*) *For each finite rank tripotent e in E there exists a unique finite rank tripotent u in B such that $f(e) = u$.* □

One of the obstacles to the questions left open in [29] is mainly due to the fact that the arguments in [29, Lemma 3.4] are not valid for arbitrary weakly compact JB*-triples. We begin the new contributions with a generalization of [29, Lemma 3.4] for general JB*-triples.

Proposition 2.2. *Let e and x be norm-one elements in a JB*-triple E. Suppose that e is a minimal tripotent and $\|e - x\| = 2$. Then $x = -e + P_0(e)(x)$.*

Proof. The element $\frac{e-x}{2}$ has norm-one in E. Let $u = s(\frac{e-x}{2})$ denote the support tripotent of $\frac{e-x}{2}$ in the JBW*-triple E^{**}. It is known that u is a compact(-G_δ) tripotent in E^{**} and $\frac{e-x}{2} = u + P_0(u)(\frac{e-x}{2})$ (see [17, §4]). Since the lattice $\mathcal{U}_c(E^{**})$ of those compact tripotents in E^{**} is atomic (see [17, Theorem 4.5(*ii*)]), we can find at least a minimal element $v \in \min \mathcal{U}_c(E^{**}) = \min \mathcal{U}(E^{**})$ satisfying $v \leq u$. Therefore, we can write $\dfrac{e-x}{2} = v + P_0(v)(\dfrac{e-x}{2})$ in E^{**}, and hence

$$\frac{1}{2}P_2(v)(e) + \frac{1}{2}P_2(v)(-x) = P_2(v)(\frac{e-x}{2}) = v.$$

Since v is the unit of $E_2^{**}(v) = \mathbb{C}v$, and hence it is an extreme point of the closed unit of the latter space, we deduce that $P_2(v)(e) = P_2(v)(-x) = v$. The minimality of e in E (and in E^{**}) shows that $e = v$ (compare [19, Corollary 1.7]). Having in mind that x is a norm-one element in E, it follows from [19, Lemma 1.6] that $x = -v + P_0(v)(x) = -e + P_0(e)(x)$ in E. □

Many important consequences can be now derived from the above strengthened version of [29, Lemma 3.4]. For example, when in the proof of [29, Theorem 3.6] we replace [29, Lemma 3.4] with Proposition 2.2, the arguments remain valid, word-by-word, to prove the following:

Theorem 2.3. *Let $f : S(E) \to S(B)$ be a surjective isometry between the unit spheres of two weakly compact JB*-triples. Suppose e is a finite rank tripotent in E. Then $f(-e) = -f(e)$. Furthermore, if $e_1, \ldots, e_m$ are mutually orthogonal minimal tripotents in E, then $f(e_1), \ldots, f(e_m)$ are mutually orthogonal minimal tripotents and*

$$f(e_1 + \ldots + e_m) = f(e_1) + \ldots + f(e_m).$$

Consequently, f maps tripotents of rank k to tripotents of rank k, f is additive on mutually orthogonal finite rank tripotents, and the ranks of E and B coincide. □

We can also remove now part of the hypothesis in [29, Theorem 3.12].

Theorem 2.4. *Let $f : S(E) \to S(B)$ be a surjective isometry between the unit spheres of two weakly compact JB*-triples. Suppose that $E = \oplus_{j\in J}^{c_0} K_j$, where $\sharp J \geq 2$, and every K_j is an elementary JB*-triple. Then there exists a surjective real linear isometry $T : E \to B$ satisfying $T|_{S(E)} = f$.*

Proof. If we replace [29, Theorem 3.6] with Theorem 2.3 above, the arguments in the proof of [29, Theorem 3.12] also hold in this setting. □

Let $f : S(E) \to S(B)$ be a surjective isometry between the unit spheres of two weakly compact JB*-triples. Suppose that $E = \oplus_{j\in\mathcal{J}}^{c_0} K_j$ and $B = \oplus_{k\in\mathcal{K}}^{c_0} K'_k$, where the K_j and the K'_k are elementary JB*-triples. If $\sharp\mathcal{J} \geq 2$ or $\sharp\mathcal{K} \geq 2$ we deduce from

Theorem 2.4 above that f can be extended to a real linear (bijective) isometry $T : E \to F$. We can therefore restrict our attention to the case of a surjective isometry between the unit spheres of two elementary JB*-triples.

Let $f : S(K) \to S(K')$ be a surjective isometry between the unit spheres of two elementary JB*-triples. Theorem 2.3 implies that K and K' both have the same rank. If $\text{rank}(K) = 1$ or $\text{rank}(K) \geq 5$, Corollary 3.15 and Theorem 3.13 in [29] prove the existence of a surjective real linear isometry $T : K \to K'$ which coincides with f on the unit sphere of K. We can actually restrict our attention to the cases in which $2 \leq \text{rank}(K) \leq 4$. Theorem 4.1 below assures (even under the weaker hypothesis $2 \leq \text{rank}(K)$) the existence of a surjective complex linear or conjugate linear isometry $T : K \to K'$ satisfying $T(x) = f(x)$ for every $x \in S(K)$. These arguments offer a complete answer to Tingley's problem for weakly compact JB*-triples.

Theorem 2.5. *Let $f : S(E) \to S(B)$ be a surjective isometry between the unit spheres of two weakly compact JB*-triples. Then there exists a surjective real linear isometry $T : E \to B$ satisfying $T|_{S(E)} = f$.* □

3. Geometric properties of the subtriple generated by two minimal tripotents

Our first result can be easily derived from the *Triple System Analyzer* [8, Proposition 2.1].

Lemma 3.1. [8, Proposition 2.1 (i)] *Let e_1 and e_2 be tripotents in a JB*-triple E. The following statements hold:*

(a) If $e_1 \top e_2$ then e_1 is minimal if and only if e_2 is;
(b) If e_1 and e_2 are minimal and $e_2 \in E_1(e_1)$ then $e_1 \top e_2$. □

Our next result is also essentially contained in [8]. We include an explicit statement with a justification for completeness.

Lemma 3.2. *Let C be a Cartan factor of rank greater or equal than 2. Let e_1 and e_2 be minimal tripotent in C with $e_1 \top e_2$. Then there exists a minimal tripotent u in C such that $e_1 \perp u$, and $u \top e_2$.*

Proof. By [8, Corollary 2.2] the JBW*-triple $C_1(e_2)$ has rank one or two.

Suppose first that $C_1(e_2)$ has rank one. Since $C_1(e_2) \ni e_1 \top e_2$, we deduce from [8, PROPOSITION in page 305] that C is isometric to a Hilbert space, and hence C must have rank one, which is impossible. Therefore $C_1(e_2)$ has rank two, and we can thus find a tripotent u in $C_1(e_2)$ such that $u \perp e_1$ and u is minimal in $C_1(e_2)$.

Clearly, $e_1 + u$ is a tripotent in $C_1(e_2)$ which is not minimal in the latter JB*-triple. By the *Triple System Analyzer* [8, Proposition 2.1 (iii)], there exist two minimal tripotents u_1, u_2 in C satisfying $e_1 + u = u_1 + u_2$, in other words, $e_1 + u$ is a rank two tripotent in C, and hence u must be minimal in C too. □

For later purposes, we shall make use of another decomposition which is also associated with a tripotent u in a JB*-triple E. Since the mapping $Q(u)|_{E_2(u)}$ is the involution of the JB*-algebra $E_2(u)$, it follows that $E_2(u) = E^1(u) \oplus E^{-1}(u)$, where $E^k(u) := \{x \in E : Q(u)(x) := \{u, x, u\} = kx\}$. Clearly, $E^{-1}(u) = iE^1(u)$.

By Peirce rules, $E_0(u) \oplus E_1(u)$ coincides with the kernel $\ker(Q(u)) = E^0(u)$. The identity

$$\{E^i(u), E^j(u), E^k(u)\} \subseteq E^{ijk}(u),$$

holds whenever $ijk \neq 0$. The spaces $E^k(u)$ induce the following decomposition

$$E = E^{-1}(u) \oplus E^0(u) \oplus E^1(u).$$

Let u and v be a couple of arbitrary minimal tripotents in a JB*-triple E. The JB*-subtriple J of E generated by u and v was totally described by Y. Friedman and B. Russo [19, Lemma 2.3 and Proposition 5]. It follows from the just quoted results that J is linearly spanned by u, v, $P_1(u)(v)$, and $P_1(v)(u)$. It is further known that J is isometrically (triple-)isomorphic to one in the following list:

$$\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C} \oplus^\infty \mathbb{C}, M_{1,2}(\mathbb{C}), M_2(\mathbb{C}) \text{ and } S_2(\mathbb{C}), \tag{9}$$

where $M_{k,n}(\mathbb{C})$ is the C*-algebra of all $k \times n$ matrices with complex entries, and $S_2(\mathbb{C})$ denotes the symmetric 2×2 complex matrices.

Given a minimal tripotent u in a JB*-triple E, since $E_2(u) = \mathbb{C}u$, there exists a unique norm-one functional $\phi_u : E \to \mathbb{C}u$ satisfying $P_2(u)(x) = \phi_u(x)u$, for each x in E. Clearly, $P^1(u)(x) = \Re\mathrm{e}\phi_u(x)u$ for all x in E. By an slight abuse of notation, when no confusion arises, we shall also write $P^1(u)(x)$ for the real number $\phi_u(x)$.

In our next result we shall establish a formula to compute the distance between two minimal tripotents in a JB*-triple.

Proposition 3.3. *Let u and v be minimal tripotents in a JB*-triple E. Then the following formula holds*

$$\begin{aligned} \|u - v\|^2 &= (1 - \Re\mathrm{e}\phi_u(v)) + \sqrt{(1 - \Re\mathrm{e}\phi_u(v))^2 - \|P_0(u)(v)\|^2} \\ &= (1 - P^1(u)(v)) + \sqrt{(1 - P^1(u)(v))^2 - \|P_0(u)(v)\|^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Proof. Let J denote the JB*-subtriple generated by u and v. Suppose $J = \mathbb{C} \oplus^\infty \mathbb{C}$. The minimality of u and v implies that $u \perp v$ and hence the conclusion in (10) is a consequence of [19, Lemma 1.3 (a)].

If $J = \mathbb{C}$ the statement is clear.

We assume now that $J = M_{1,2}(\mathbb{C})$. There is no loss of generality in assuming that $u = (1, 0)$ and $v = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ with $|\lambda_1|^2 + |\lambda_2|^2 = 1$. Therefore,

$$\|u - v\|^2 = \|(1 - \lambda_1, -\lambda_2)\|^2 = |1 - \lambda_1|^2 + |\lambda_2|^2 = 2 - 2\Re\mathrm{e}(\lambda_1) = 2(1 - \Re\mathrm{e}\phi_u(v)),$$

which proves (10) because $P_0(u)(v) = 0$.

We deal now with the case $J = M_2(\mathbb{C})$ with the spectral norm. We may assume that $u = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $v = \begin{pmatrix} \overline{\xi_1}\eta_1 & \overline{\xi_2}\eta_1 \\ \overline{\xi_1}\eta_2 & \overline{\xi_2}\eta_2 \end{pmatrix} = (\eta_1, \eta_2) \otimes (\xi_1, \xi_2)$, with $\xi_j, \eta_k \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfying $|\xi_1|^2 + |\xi_2|^2 = 1$ and $|\eta_1|^2 + |\eta_2|^2 = 1$. To simplify the notation we write $v = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix}$, with $\alpha\delta = \beta\gamma$ and $|\alpha|^2 + |\delta|^2 + |\beta|^2 + |\gamma|^2 = 1$. According to this terminology, $v - u = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha - 1 & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix}$. By the Gelfand-Naimark axiom we have

$$\|v - u\|^2 = \|(v - u)(v - u)^*\| = \left\| \begin{pmatrix} \alpha - 1 & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha - 1 & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix}^* \right\|.$$

Since $(v-u)(v-u)^* = \begin{pmatrix} |\alpha-1|^2+|\beta|^2 & (\alpha-1)\overline{\gamma}+\beta\overline{\delta} \\ (\overline{\alpha}-1)\gamma+\overline{\beta}\delta & |\gamma|^2+|\delta|^2 \end{pmatrix}$, its characteristic polynomial is precisely

$$p(\lambda) = \lambda^2 - (|\alpha-1|^2+|\beta|^2+|\gamma|^2+|\delta|^2)\lambda + (|\alpha-1|^2+|\beta|^2)(|\gamma|^2+|\delta|^2) - |(\alpha-1)\overline{\gamma}+\beta\overline{\delta}|^2.$$

The conditions $|\alpha|^2+|\delta|^2+|\beta|^2+|\gamma|^2 = 1$ and $\alpha\delta = \beta\gamma$ imply

$$(|\alpha-1|^2+|\beta|^2+|\gamma|^2+|\delta|^2) = 2-2\Re\mathrm{e}(\alpha),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&(|\alpha-1|^2+|\beta|^2)(|\gamma|^2+|\delta|^2) - |(\alpha-1)\overline{\gamma}+\beta\overline{\delta}|^2 \\ &= |\alpha-1|^2|\gamma|^2+|\alpha-1|^2|\delta|^2+|\beta|^2|\gamma|^2+|\beta|^2|\delta|^2-|\alpha-1|^2|\gamma|^2-|\beta|^2|\delta|^2-2\Re\mathrm{e}((\alpha-1)\overline{\gamma}\overline{\beta}\delta) \\ &= |\alpha-1|^2|\delta|^2+|\beta|^2|\gamma|^2-2\Re\mathrm{e}((\alpha-1)\overline{\gamma}\overline{\beta}\delta) = |(\alpha-1)\delta-\beta\gamma|^2 = |\delta|^2.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$p(\lambda) = \lambda^2 - 2(1-\Re\mathrm{e}(\alpha))\lambda + |\delta|^2,$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned}\|u-v\|^2 &= (1-\Re\mathrm{e}(\alpha)) + \sqrt{(1-\Re\mathrm{e}(\alpha))^2-|\delta|^2} \\ &= (1-\Re\mathrm{e}\phi_u(v)) + \sqrt{(1-\Re\mathrm{e}\phi_u(v))^2 - \|P_0(u)(v)\|^2}.\end{aligned}$$

The case $J = S_2(\mathbb{C})$ follows by the same arguments. □

Corollary 3.4. *Let u and v be minimal tripotents in a JB*-triple E. Then the condition $\|u \pm v\| = \sqrt{2}$ implies $v \in E^{-1}(u) \oplus E_1(u)$.*

Proof. Applying Proposition 3.3 we know that

$$2 = \|u \mp v\|^2 = (1 \mp \Re\mathrm{e}\phi_u(v)) + \sqrt{(1 \mp \Re\mathrm{e}\phi_u(v))^2 - \|P_0(u)(v)\|^2},$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned}(1 \pm \Re\mathrm{e}\phi_u(v)) &= \sqrt{(1 \mp \Re\mathrm{e}\phi_u(v))^2 - \|P_0(u)(v)\|^2}, \\ (1 \pm \Re\mathrm{e}\phi_u(v))^2 &= (1 \mp \Re\mathrm{e}\phi_u(v))^2 - \|P_0(u)(v)\|^2, \\ \pm 4\Re\mathrm{e}\phi_u(v) &= -\|P_0(u)(v)\|^2,\end{aligned}$$

which proves $\Re\mathrm{e}\phi_u(v) = P_0(u)(v) = 0$, and gives the desired conclusion. □

We can now prove that surjective isometries between the unit spheres of elementary JB*-triples of rank ≥ 2 preserve collinearity between minimal tripotents.

Proposition 3.5. *Let $f : S(C) \to S(C')$ be a surjective isometry between elementary JB*-triples with rank$(C) \geq 2$. Suppose e_1 and e_2 are minimal tripotents in C with $e_1 \top e_2$. Then $f(e_1) \top f(e_2)$.*

Proof. Since rank$(C) \geq 2$ by Lemma 3.2 there exists a minimal tripotent u in C satisfying $e_1 \perp u$ and $e_2 \top u$. By Proposition 2.1 $f(e_1)$, $f(\pm e_2)$, and $f(u)$ are minimal tripotents with $f(e_1) \perp f(u)$. By hypothesis and Theorem 2.3 we have

$$2 = \|e_1 \pm e_2\|^2 = \|u \pm e_2\|^2 = \|f(e_1) \pm f(e_2)\|^2 = \|f(u) \pm f(e_2)\|^2.$$

Thus, Corollary 3.4 shows that $f(e_2) = P^{-1}(f(u))(f(e_2)) + P_1(f(u))(f(e_2))$ and $f(e_2) = P^{-1}(f(e_1))(f(e_2)) + P_1(f(e_1))(f(e_2))$. Having in mind that $f(e_1) \perp f(u)$, and hence $(C')^{-1}(f(e_1)) \subseteq (C')_0(f(u))$, we obtain $f(e_2) = P_1(f(u))(f(e_2))$. Lemma 3.1($b$) gives $f(e_1) \top f(e_2)$. □

The next result determines the behavior of a surjective isometry on the space $C^{-1}(e) = i\mathbb{R}e$ associated with a minimal tripotent e.

Lemma 3.6. *Let $f : S(C) \to S(C')$ be a surjective isometry between elementary JB*-triples with rank$(C) \geq 2$. Suppose e is a minimal tripotent in C. Then $f(ie) = \pm i f(e)$. Consequently, $f(\lambda e) = \lambda f(e)$ or $f(\lambda e) = \overline{\lambda} f(e)$ for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.*

Proof. The elements $f(e)$ and $f(ie)$ are minimal tripotents in C' (see Proposition 2.1). Since, by Theorem 2.3, we have

$$\|f(e) \pm f(ie)\| = \|f(e) - f(\mp ie)\| = \|e \pm ie\| = \sqrt{2},$$

we deduce from Corollary 3.4 that $f(ie) \in C^{-1}(f(e)) \oplus C_1(f(e)) = i\mathbb{R} f(e) \oplus C_1(f(e))$. If we consider the JB*-subtriple J generated by $f(e)$ and $f(ie)$, we know that J is isomorphic to one of $\mathbb{C}$, $\mathbb{C} \oplus^{\infty} \mathbb{C}$, $M_{1,2}(\mathbb{C})$, $M_2(\mathbb{C})$ and $S_2(\mathbb{C})$ (see [19, Proposition 5]). Arguing case by case, we can find a minimal tripotent $v_{12} \in C'$, a real t and a complex λ_{12} satisfying $t^2 + |\lambda_{12}|^2 = 1$, $f(e) \top v_{12}$, and $f(ie) = ite + \lambda_{12} v_{12}$.

Applying Lemma 3.2 we find a minimal tripotent v_{22} in C' such that $f(e) \perp v_{22}$ and $v_{12} \top v_{22}$. Theorem 2.3 applied to f^{-1} implies that $e \perp f^{-1}(v_{22})$ and $f^{-1}(v_{22})$ is a minimal tripotent in C. Therefore $ie \perp f^{-1}(v_{22})$. A new application of Theorem 2.3, shows that $it + \lambda_{12} v_{12} = f(ie) \perp v_{22}$, which implies $\lambda_{12} = 0$, and consequently, $f(ie) = \pm i f(e)$.

To prove the last statement, let us take u in C with $e \perp u$ and let $T_u : C_0(u) \to C_0'(f(e))$ be the surjective real linear isometry given by Proposition 2.1. For each complex number λ, we have

$$f(\lambda e) = T_u(\lambda u) = \Re\mathrm{e}(\lambda) T_u(e) + \Im\mathrm{m}(\lambda) T_u(ie)$$

$$= \Re\mathrm{e}(\lambda) f(e) + \Im\mathrm{m}(\lambda) f(ie) = \Re\mathrm{e}(\lambda) f(e) \pm i \Im\mathrm{m}(\lambda) f(e),$$

that is $f(\lambda e) = \lambda f(e)$ or $f(\lambda e) = \overline{\lambda} f(e)$, for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. □

Following the notation in [8] (see also [28]), we recall that an ordered quadruple (u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4) of tripotents in a JB*-triple E is called a *quadrangle* if $u_1 \perp u_3$, $u_2 \perp u_4$, $u_1 \top u_2 \top u_3 \top u_4 \top u_1$ and $u_4 = 2\{u_1, u_2, u_3\}$ (the axiom (JB*1) implies that the last equality holds if the indices are permutated cyclically, e.g. $u_2 = 2\{u_3, u_4, u_1\}$).

Let u and v be tripotents in E. We say that u *governs* v, $u \vdash v$, whenever $v \in U_2(u)$ and $u \in U_1(v)$. An ordered triplet $(v, u, \tilde{v})$ of tripotents in E, is called a *trangle* if $v \perp \tilde{v}$, $u \vdash v$, $u \vdash \tilde{v}$ and $v = Q(u)\tilde{v}$.

Proposition 3.7. *Let $f : S(C) \to S(C')$ be a surjective isometry between elementary JB*-triples with rank$(C) \geq 2$, and let e be a minimal tripotent in C. The following statements hold:*

(a) *If (u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4) is a quadrangle of minimal tripotents in C, then the quadruple $(f(u_1), f(u_2), f(u_3), f(u_4))$ is a quadrangle of minimal tripotents in C';*
(b) *Suppose (u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4) is a quadrangle of minimal tripotents in C and $f(iu_1) = if(u_1)$ (respectively, $f(iu_1) = -if(u_1)$), then $f(iu_j) = if(u_j)$ (respectively, $f(iu_j) = -if(u_j)$), for every $j = 2, 3, 4$;*
(c) *Suppose $f(ie) = if(e)$ (respectively, $f(ie) = -if(e)$), then $f(iv) = if(v)$ (respectively, $f(iv) = -if(v)$) for every minimal tripotent $v \in C$ with $v \top e$;*
(d) *If $(v, u, \tilde{v})$ is a trangle in C with $v, \tilde{v}$ minimal, then $(f(v), f(u), f(\tilde{v}))$ is a trangle in C', with $f(v)$ and $f(\tilde{v})$ minimal;*
(e) *Suppose $(v, u, \tilde{v})$ is a trangle in C with $v, \tilde{v}$ minimal. If $f(iv) = if(v)$ (respectively, $f(iv) = -if(v)$), then $f(i\tilde{v}) = if(\tilde{v})$ and $f(iu) = if(u)$ (respectively, $f(i\tilde{v}) = -if(\tilde{v})$ and $f(iu) = -if(u)$);*

(f) *Suppose $(v,u,\tilde{v})$ is a trangle in C with $v,\tilde{v}$ minimal. If $f(iu)=if(u)$ (respectively, $f(iu)=-if(u)$), then $f(i\tilde{v})=if(\tilde{v})$ and $f(iv)=if(v)$ (respectively, $f(i\tilde{v})=-if(\tilde{v})$ and $f(iv)=-if(v)$).*

Proof. (a) We know from Theorem 2.3 and Proposition 3.5 that $f(u_1), f(u_2), f(u_3)$, and $f(u_4)$ are minimal tripotents in C' with $f(u_1)\top f(u_2)\top f(u_3)\top f(u_4)\top f(u_1)$, $f(u_1)\perp f(u_3)$ and $f(u_2)\perp f(u_4)$. We only have to show that

$$2\{f(u_1),f(u_2),f(u_3)\}=f(u_4)$$

to conclude the proof. To this end we observe that $w=\frac{1}{2}(u_1+u_2+u_3+u_4)$ and $\tilde{w}=\frac{1}{2}(u_1-u_2+u_3-u_4)$ are minimal tripotents in C with $w\perp\tilde{w}$. Theorem 2.3 implies that

$$f(w)+f(\tilde{w})=f(w+\tilde{w})=f(u_1+u_3)=f(u_1)+f(u_3), \tag{11}$$

which implies that $f(w)$ and $f(\tilde{w})$ are orthogonal projections in the JBW*-algebra $C_2'(f(u_1)+f(u_3))$. To see this, we simply observe that $\{f(w),f(u_1)+f(u_3),f(w)\}=\{f(w),f(w),f(w)\}=f(w)=\{f(u_1)+f(u_3),f(w),f(u_1)+f(u_3)\}$, and the same for $f(\tilde{w})$.

In particular,

$$f(w)-f(\tilde{w})=f(u_2+u_4)=f(u_2)+f(u_4) \tag{12}$$

is a symmetry in the JBW*-algebra $C_2'(f(u_1)+f(u_3))$ and hence

$$f(u_2)+f(u_4)=\{f(u_1)+f(u_3),f(u_2)+f(u_4),f(u_1)+f(u_3)\},$$

which via Peirce arithmetic, shows that

$$f(u_2)+f(u_4)=2\{f(u_1),f(u_2),f(u_3)\}+2\{f(u_1),f(u_4),f(u_3)\},$$

and hence $2\{f(u_1),f(u_2),f(u_3)\}=f(u_4)$.

(b) Let us assume that $f(iu_1)=if(u_1)$. By (a), $(f(u_1),f(u_2),f(u_3),f(u_4))$ is a quadrangle of minimal tripotents in C', and for the minimal tripotents $w=\frac{1}{2}(u_1+u_2+u_3+u_4)$ and $\tilde{w}=\frac{1}{2}(u_1-u_2+u_3-u_4)$, by (11) and (12), we have $f(w)=\frac{1}{2}(f(u_1)+f(u_2)+f(u_3)+f(u_4))$ and $f(\tilde{w})=\frac{1}{2}(f(u_1)-f(u_2)+f(u_3)-f(u_4))$. By Lemma 3.6 it follows that $f(i(w+\tilde{w}))\in\{\pm if(w\pm\tilde{w})\}$. Therefore, applying Theorem 2.3, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} if(u_1)+f(iu_3)=f(iu_1)+f(iu_3)=f(iu_1+iu_3)=f(i(w+\tilde{w}))\\ \in\{\pm if(w\pm\tilde{w})\}=\{\pm if(u_1+u_3),\pm if(u_2+u_4)\}\\ =\{\pm i(f(u_1)+f(u_3)),\pm i(f(u_2)+f(u_4))\},\end{aligned}$$

and since $f(iu_3)\in\{\pm if(u_3)\}$, the unique possible choice for $f(iu_3)$ is $if(u_3)$. By orthogonality $f(iw)+f(i\tilde{w})=f(iw+i\tilde{w})=f(iu_1+iu_3)=f(iu_1)+f(iu_3)=if(u_1)+if(u_3)=i(f(w)+f(\tilde{w}))$, and since $f(iw)\in\{\pm if(w)\}$ and $f(i\tilde{w})\in\{\pm if(\tilde{w})\}$, we obtain $f(iw)=if(w)$ and $f(i\tilde{w})=if(\tilde{w})$. Consequently, $f(iu_2+iu_4)=f(iw-i\tilde{w})=f(iw)-f(i\tilde{w})=if(w)-if(\tilde{w})=if(u_2)+if(u_4)$, which implies $f(iu_2)=if(u_2)$ and $f(iu_4)=if(u_4)$.

(c) Let v be a minimal tripotent in C with $e\top v$. By Lemma 3.2 there exists a minimal tripotent $u\in C$ such that $e\perp u$ and $v\top u$. By [8, Proposition 1.7], the element $\tilde{v}=2\{e,v,u\}$ is a minimal tripotent in C and $(e,v,u,\tilde{v})$ is a quadrangle in C. Since $f(ie)=if(e)$, it follows from (b) that $f(iv)=if(v)$.

(d) Let $(v,u,\tilde{v})$ be a trangle in C with $v,\tilde{v}$ minimal. It is known that $w=\frac{1}{2}(v+\tilde{v}+u)$ and $\tilde{w}=\frac{1}{2}(v+\tilde{v}-u)$ are minimal tripotents in C with $w\perp\tilde{w}$ (compare,

for example, [23, Theorem 4.10] or [18, Corollary 2.8 and Remark 2.6]). Therefore $u = w - \tilde{w}$ is a rank 2 tripotent in C. It follows from Theorem 2.3 that $f(u)$, $f(v)$, $f(w)$, $f(\tilde{w})$, and $f(\tilde{v})$ are tripotents in C', where $f(v)$, $f(w)$, $f(\tilde{w})$, and $f(\tilde{v})$ are minimal, $f(u)$ has rank 2, $f(v) \perp f(\tilde{v})$, $f(w) \perp f(\tilde{w})$, and $f(u) = f(w) - f(\tilde{w})$.

By the hypothesis on f, Theorem 2.3 and Proposition 3.3, we have

$$(1 + P^1(f(v))(f(w))) + \sqrt{(1 + P^1(f(v))(f(w)))^2 - \|P_0(f(v))(f(w))\|^2}$$
$$= \|f(v) + f(w)\|^2 = \|v + w\|^2 = \frac{3}{2} + \sqrt{2}$$

and

$$(1 - P^1(f(v))(f(w))) + \sqrt{(1 - P^1(f(v))(f(w)))^2 - \|P_0(f(v))(f(w))\|^2}$$
$$= \|f(v) - f(w)\|^2 = \|v - w\|^2 = \frac{1}{2},$$

where we have identified $P^1(f(v))(f(w))$ with the real number $\Re\mathrm{e}(\phi_{f(v)}(f(w)))$. It is not hard to see that the unique solution of the above system gives

$$P^1(f(v))(f(w))) = \frac{1}{2} f(v), \text{ and } \|P_0(f(v))(f(w))\| = \frac{1}{2}.$$

By replacing v with $\tilde{v}$ we get

$$P^1(f(\tilde{v}))(f(w))) = \frac{1}{2} f(\tilde{v}), \text{ and } \|P_0(f(\tilde{v}))(f(w))\| = \frac{1}{2}.$$

We also know that $v + \tilde{v} = w + \tilde{w}$ and hence $f(v) + f(\tilde{v}) = f(w) + f(\tilde{w})$, and hence $f(w), f(\tilde{w}) \in C_2'(f(v) + f(\tilde{v}))$. Therefore, $P_0(f(v))(f(w)) = P_2(f(\tilde{v}))(f(w))$. If we observe that $(C')^1(f(v)) \subseteq C_2'(f(v)) = \mathbb{C} f(v)$, we obtain $P_2(f(v))(f(w)) = P^1(f(v))(f(w))) = \frac{1}{2} f(v)$, and thus $f(w) = \frac{1}{2} f(v) + P_1(f(v))(f(w)) + \frac{1}{2} f(\tilde{v})$. It is also clear from the above that $P_1(f(\tilde{v}))(f(w)) = P_1(f(v))(f(w))$. We have therefore shown that

$$f(w) = \frac{1}{2} f(v) + \frac{1}{2} f(\tilde{v}) + P_1(f(\tilde{v}))(f(w)).$$

Similar arguments applied to $f(\tilde{w})$ prove

$$f(\tilde{w}) = \frac{1}{2} f(v) + \frac{1}{2} f(\tilde{v}) + P_1(f(\tilde{v}))(f(\tilde{w})).$$

The equality $f(v) + f(\tilde{v}) = f(w) + f(\tilde{w})$ implies that

$$P_1(f(\tilde{v}))(f(\tilde{w})) = -P_1(f(\tilde{v}))(f(w)),$$

and hence

$$f(u) = f(w) - f(\tilde{w}) = 2P_1(f(\tilde{v}))(f(w)) = 2P_1(f(v))(f(w)) \in C_1'(f(v)) \cap C_1'(f(\tilde{v})).$$

The identity

$$\{f(u), f(v) + f(\tilde{v}), f(u)\} = \{f(w) - f(\tilde{w}), f(w) + f(\tilde{w}), f(w) - f(\tilde{w})\}$$
$$= \{f(w), f(w), f(w)\} + \{f(\tilde{w}), f(\tilde{w}), f(\tilde{w})\} = f(w) + f(\tilde{w}) = f(v) + f(\tilde{v}),$$

proves that $f(u)$ actually is a symmetry in the JB*-algebra $C_2'(f(v) + f(\tilde{v}))$, and then $C_2'(f(v) + f(\tilde{v})) = C_2'(f(u))$, which gives $f(v), f(\tilde{v}) \in C_2'(f(u))$.

Finally, since $f(u) \in C_1'(f(v)) \cap C_1'(f(\tilde{v}))$, we deduce from Peirce rules that

$$\{f(u), f(v), f(u)\} \in C_0'(f(v)) \cap C_2'(f(v) + f(\tilde{v})) = \mathbb{C} f(\tilde{v}),$$
$$\{f(u), f(\tilde{v}), f(u)\} \in C_0'(f(\tilde{v})) \cap C_2'(f(v) + f(\tilde{v})) = \mathbb{C} f(v),$$

and

$$\{f(u), f(v) + f(\tilde{v}), f(u)\} = f(v) + f(\tilde{v}),$$

and hence $\{f(u), f(v), f(u)\} = f(\tilde{v})$ and $\{f(u), f(\tilde{v}), f(u)\} = f(v)$, which finishes the proof of (d).

(e) Let $(v, u, \tilde{v})$ be a trangle in C with $v, \tilde{v}$ minimal, and $f(iv) = if(v)$. By (d) the 3-tuple $(f(iv), f(iu), f(i\tilde{v}))$ is a trangle in C' with $f(iv), f(i\tilde{v})$ minimal. Following the arguments in the proof of (d), $iw = \frac{1}{2}i(v+\tilde{v}+u)$, $i\tilde{w} = \frac{1}{2}i(v+\tilde{v}-u)$, $f(iw)$ and $f(i\tilde{w})$ are minimal tripotents with $iw \perp i\tilde{w}$, $f(iw) \perp f(i\tilde{w})$, $f(u) = f(w) - f(\tilde{w})$, $f(v) + f(\tilde{v}) = f(w) + f(\tilde{w})$,

$$f(iu) = f(iw) - f(i\tilde{w}) \in C_1'(f(iv)) \cap C_1'(f(i\tilde{v})),$$

and

$$f(iv) + f(i\tilde{v}) = f(iw) + f(i\tilde{w}).$$

Since $f(iv) = if(v)$ and $f(iz) \in \{\pm if(z)\}$, for every $z = w, \tilde{w}, \tilde{v}$, we deduce that $f(i\tilde{v}) = if(\tilde{v})$ and $f(iu) = if(u)$.

(f) With the notation employed in the proofs of (d) and (e), if $f(iu) = if(u)$, we have $i(f(w) - f(\tilde{w})) = if(w - \tilde{w}) = if(u) = f(iu) = f(iw - i\tilde{w}) = f(iw) - f(i\tilde{w})$, and hence, by orthogonality relations, $f(iw) = if(w)$, $f(i\tilde{w}) = if(\tilde{w})$. We similarly get $f(i\tilde{v}) = if(\tilde{v})$ and $f(iv) = if(v)$. □

We have developed enough tools to establish that surjective isometries between the unit spheres of elementary JB*-triples of rank greater or equal than 2 are ℓ_2-additive on collinear minimal tripotents.

Proposition 3.8. *Let $f : S(C) \to S(C')$ be a surjective isometry between elementary JB*-triples with rank$(C) \geq 2$. Suppose e_1 and e_2 are minimal tripotent in C with $e_1 \top e_2$. Then, the following statements hold:*

(a) *If $f(ie_1) = if(e_1)$, then*

$$f(\alpha e_1 + \beta e_2) = \alpha f(e_1) + \beta f(e_2),$$

for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 = 1$;

(b) *If $f(ie_1) = -if(e_1)$, then*

$$f(\alpha e_1 + \beta e_2) = \overline{\alpha} f(e_1) + \overline{\beta} f(e_2),$$

for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 = 1$.

Proof. Let us fix $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\alpha|^2+|\beta|^2 = 1$. We can assume $\alpha, \beta \neq 0$. Proposition 2.1 assures that $f(e_1)$, $f(e_2)$, and $f(\alpha e_1 + \beta e_2)$ are minimal tripotents in C'. Let J denote the JB*-subtriple of C' generated by $f(e_1)$ and $f(\alpha e_1 + \beta e_2)$. We have already commented that J identifies with one of the following $\mathbb{C}$, $\mathbb{C} \oplus^\infty \mathbb{C}$, $M_{1,2}(\mathbb{C})$, $M_2(\mathbb{C})$ and $S_2(\mathbb{C})$ (see [19, Proposition 5]).

If $J = \mathbb{C}$, we have $f(\alpha e_1 + \beta e_2) = \lambda f(e_1)$ for a suitable complex λ with $|\lambda| = 1$. By Lemma 3.6 we have $\lambda f(e_1) = f(\lambda e_1)$ or $\lambda f(e_1) = f(\overline{\lambda} e_1)$. Therefore, $\alpha e_1 + \beta e_2 = \lambda e_1$ or $\alpha e_1 + \beta e_2 = \overline{\lambda} e_1$, and both equalities are impossible.

If $J = \mathbb{C} \oplus^\infty \mathbb{C}$, we can assume $f(e_1) = (1, 0)$ and $f(\alpha e_1 + \beta e_2) = (\lambda, 0)$ or $f(\alpha e_1 + \beta e_2) = (0, \lambda)$ with $|\lambda| = 1$. In the first case, Lemma 3.6 gives $\alpha e_1 + \beta e_2 = \lambda e_1$ or $\alpha e_1 + \beta e_2 = \overline{\lambda} e_1$, which is impossible, while in the second case, by Theorem 2.4, we have $\alpha e_1 + \beta e_2 \perp e_1$, which is impossible too.

In the remaining cases, we can assume $J \subseteq M_2(\mathbb{C})$, $f(e_1) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $f(\alpha e_1 + \beta e_2) = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha' & \beta' \\ \gamma' & \delta' \end{pmatrix}$ with $|\alpha'|^2 + |\beta'|^2 + |\gamma'|^2 + |\delta'|^2 = 1$ and $\alpha'\delta' = \beta'\gamma'$. Applying Proposition 3.3 and the properties of f we get:

$$2(1 \pm \Re\mathrm{e}(\alpha)) = \|e_1 \pm (\alpha e_1 + \beta e_2)\|^2 = \|f(e_1) \pm f(\alpha e_1 + \beta e_2)\|^2$$
$$= (1 \pm \Re\mathrm{e}(\alpha')) + \sqrt{(1 \pm \Re\mathrm{e}(\alpha'))^2 - |\delta'|^2};$$
$$4(1 \pm \Re\mathrm{e}(\alpha))^2 + (1 \pm \Re\mathrm{e}(\alpha'))^2 - 4(1 \pm \Re\mathrm{e}(\alpha))(1 \pm \Re\mathrm{e}(\alpha')) = (1 \pm \Re\mathrm{e}(\alpha'))^2 - |\delta'|^2;$$
$$\pm 4(1 \pm \Re\mathrm{e}(\alpha))(\Re\mathrm{e}(\alpha') - \Re\mathrm{e}(\alpha)) = -|\delta'|^2.$$

Therefore, $\delta' = 0$, and since $|\alpha| \neq 1$ we also deduce that $\Re\mathrm{e}(\alpha') = \Re\mathrm{e}(\alpha)$. Therefore, $P_0(f(e_1))(f(\alpha e_1 + \beta e_2)) = 0$ and $P^1(f(e_1))(f(\alpha e_1 + \beta e_2)) = \Re\mathrm{e}(\alpha) f(e_1)$.

By Lemma 3.6 we have $f(ie_1) = if(e_1)$ or $f(ie_1) = -if(e_1)$. We shall distinguish these two cases.

Case a) $f(ie_1) = if(e_1)$. By Proposition 3.3, Theorem 2.3 and the hypothesis we get

$$2(1 \pm \Re\mathrm{e}(i\alpha)) = \|e_1 \pm i(\alpha e_1 + \beta e_2)\|^2 = \|ie_1 \mp (\alpha e_1 + \beta e_2)\|^2 = \|f(ie_1) \mp f(\alpha e_1 + \beta e_2)\|^2$$
$$= \left\| \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mp \begin{pmatrix} \alpha' & \beta' \\ \gamma' & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\|^2 = \left\| \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \pm \begin{pmatrix} i\alpha' & i\beta' \\ i\gamma' & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\|^2 = 2(1 \pm \Re\mathrm{e}(i\alpha')),$$

which shows that $\alpha = \alpha'$, and hence

$$f(\alpha e_1 + \beta e_2) = \alpha f(e_1) + P_1(f(e_1))(f(\alpha e_1 + \beta e_2)). \tag{13}$$

Since $f(ie_1) = if(e_1)$ and $e_1 \top e_2$, Proposition 3.7(c) implies $f(ie_2) = if(e_2)$. Thus, repeating the above arguments with e_2 in the role of e_1 we get

$$f(\alpha e_1 + \beta e_2) = \beta f(e_2) + P_1(f(e_2))(f(\alpha e_1 + \beta e_2)). \tag{14}$$

By combining (13) and (14) we get

$$f(\alpha e_1 + \beta e_2) = \alpha f(e_1) + \beta f(e_2) + P_1(f(e_2))P_1(f(e_1))(f(\alpha e_1 + \beta e_2)).$$

Since $f(e_1)$ and $f(e_2)$ are collinear minimal tripotents (compare Proposition 3.5), $\alpha f(e_1) + \beta f(e_2)$ is a minimal tripotent in C' (compare [8, LEMMA in page 306]). The element $f(\alpha e_1 + \beta e_2)$ lies in the unit sphere of C' and, by Peirce arithmetic $P_1(f(e_2))P_1(f(e_1))(f(\alpha e_1 + \beta e_2)) \in C'_1(\alpha f(e_1) + \beta f(e_2))$, and thus

$$P_2(\alpha f(e_1) + \beta f(e_2))(f(\alpha e_1 + \beta e_2)) = \alpha f(e_1) + \beta f(e_2).$$

Lemma 1.6 in [19] proves $f(\alpha e_1 + \beta e_2) = \alpha f(e_1) + \beta f(e_2)$.

In the *case b)* $f(ie_1) = -if(e_1)$, the above arguments prove $f(\alpha e_1 + \beta e_2) = \overline{\alpha} f(e_1) + \overline{\beta} f(e_2)$. □

Let C be a Cartan factor with rank greater or equal than 2. Let e_1 be a minimal tripotent in C. The Peirce subspace $C_1(e_1)$ cannot be zero, otherwise $C = C_2(e_1) \oplus^\perp C_0(e_1)$ would be the direct sum of two orthogonal weak*-closed triple ideals, which is impossible. Applying [8, Corollary 2.2 and Proposition 2.1] one of the following statements holds:

(i) There exists a minimal tripotent v in C satisfying $e_1 \top v$;
(ii) There exist a rank 2 tripotent u and a minimal tripotent $\tilde{e}_1$ in C such that $(e_1, u, \tilde{e}_1)$ is a trangle;

(iii) There exist minimal tripotents v_2, v_3, v_4 in C such that (e_1, v_2, v_3, v_4) is a quadrangle.

In case (i), we can repeat the argument in the proof of Proposition 3.7(c) to deduce, via Lemma 3.2, the existence of minimal tripotents v_2, v_3, v_4 in C such that (e_1, v_2, v_3, v_4) is a quadrangle. Therefore, for each minimal tripotent e_1 in C one of the following holds:

($\checkmark$.1) There exist a rank 2 tripotent u and a minimal tripotent $\tilde{e}_1$ in C such that $(e_1, u, \tilde{e}_1)$ is a trangle;
($\checkmark$.2) There exist minimal tripotents v_2, v_3, v_4 in C such that (e_1, v_2, v_3, v_4) is a quadrangle.

Let e_2 be a minimal tripotent with $e_1 \perp e_2$. In each one of the previous cases, by [25, Proposition 5.8], there exists a complex linear, isometric, JB*-triple isomorphism $T : C \to C$ such that

(b.1) $T(e_1) = e_1$ and $T(\tilde{e}_1) = e_2$;
(b.2) $T(e_1) = e_1$ and $T(v_3) = e_2$.

Since T preserves quadrangles and trangles of the previous form, we can always conclude that one of the following statements is true:

(c.1) There exist a rank 2 tripotent u in C such that (e_1, u, e_2) is a trangle;
(c.2) There exist minimal tripotents v_2, v_4 in C such that (e_1, v_2, e_2, v_4) is a quadrangle.

The following corollary is therefore a consequence of the previous arguments (c.1) and (c.2) and Proposition 3.7(b) and (e).

Corollary 3.9. *Let $f : S(C) \to S(C')$ be a surjective isometry between elementary JB*-triples with rank$(C) \geq 2$, and let e_1 and e_2 be minimal tripotents in C with $e_1 \perp e_2$. Suppose $f(ie_1) = if(e_1)$ (respectively, $f(ie_1) = -if(e_1)$), then $f(ie_2) = if(e_2)$ (respectively, $f(ie_2) = -if(e_2)$).* □

Our next lemma will also follow from the comments prior to Corollary 3.9 and [19, Proposition 5].

Lemma 3.10. *Let e and v be two minimal tripotents in a Cartan factor of rank greater or equal than two. Then one of the following statements holds:*

(a) *There exist minimal tripotents v_2, v_3, v_4 in C, and complex numbers α, β, γ, δ such that (e, v_2, v_3, v_4) is a quadrangle, $|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 + |\gamma|^2 + |\delta|^2 = 1$, $\alpha\delta = \beta\gamma$, and $v = \alpha e + \beta v_2 + \gamma v_4 + \delta v_3$;*
(b) *There exist a minimal tripotent $\tilde{e} \in C$, a rank two tripotent $u \in C$, and complex numbers α, β, δ such that $(e, u, \tilde{e})$ is a trangle, $|\alpha|^2 + 2|\beta|^2 + |\delta|^2 = 1$, $\alpha\delta = \beta^2$, and $v = \alpha e + \beta u + \delta\tilde{e}$.*

Proof. Let J denote the JB*-subtriple of C generated by e and v. We have repeatedly applied that J identifies isomorphically with one of the following list: $\mathbb{C}$, $\mathbb{C} \oplus^\infty \mathbb{C}$, $M_{1,2}(\mathbb{C})$, $M_2(\mathbb{C})$ and $S_2(\mathbb{C})$ (see [19, Proposition 5]).

Suppose $J = \mathbb{C}$. Clearly $v = \lambda e$ for a suitable complex number λ with $|\lambda| = 1$. By ($\checkmark$.1) and ($\checkmark$.2), or there exist a rank 2 tripotent u and a minimal tripotent $\tilde{e}$ in C such that $(e, u, \tilde{e})$ is a trangle, or there exist minimal tripotents v_2, v_3, v_4 in C such that (e, v_2, v_3, v_4) is a quadrangle. So, the desired conclusion holds with $\alpha = \lambda$, $\beta = \gamma = \delta = 0$ (where $\gamma = \beta$ in the case of a trangle).

In the case $J = \mathbb{C} \oplus^\infty \mathbb{C}$, we can assume that $e = (1,0)$ and $v = (\lambda, 0)$ or $v = (0,\lambda)$ with $|\lambda| = 1$. The case $v = (\lambda, 0)$ was treated in the previous paragraph. For the second choice, we observe that $v \perp e$, and hence the statement follows from $(c.1)$ and $(c.2)$ with $\delta = 1$, $e_1 = e$, $e_2 = v$ and $\alpha = \beta = \gamma = 0$ (where $\gamma = \beta$ in the case of a trangle).

If $J = M_{1,2}(\mathbb{C})$. We can obviously find a minimal tripotent $v_2 \in C$ such that $e \top v_2$ and complex numbers α, β satisfying $v = \alpha e + \beta v_2$ and $|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 = 1$. Let us take, via Lemma 3.2, a minimal tripotent v_3 in C such that $v_3 \perp e$ and $v_2 \top v_3$. Setting $v_4 = 2\{e, v_2, v_3\}$ we define a quadrangle (e, v_2, v_3, v_4) (see [8, Proposition 1.7]). The statement (b) holds with α, β, $\gamma = \delta = 0$.

We deal now with the remaining cases. There is no loss of generality in assuming $J \subseteq M_2(\mathbb{C})$, $e = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $v = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix}$ with $|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 + |\gamma|^2 + |\delta|^2 = 1$ and $\alpha\delta = \beta\gamma$ (with $\beta = \gamma$ in case $J = S_2(\mathbb{C})$). We conclude by taking $v_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $v_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, and $v_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ or $u = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\tilde{e} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, respectively. □

We recall that a spin factor is a complex Hilbert space X, with inner product $(.|.)$, provided with a conjugation (i.e. a conjugate linear isometry of period 2 for the Hilbertian norm given by $\|x\|_2^2 = (x|x)$ $(x \in X))$ $x \mapsto \overline{x}$, where triple product and norm are given by

$$\{x, y, z\} = (x|y)z + (z|y)x - (x|\overline{z})\overline{y}, \tag{15}$$

and $\|x\|^2 = (x|x) + \sqrt{(x|x)^2 - |(x|\overline{x})|^2}$, respectively.

Let $X_1 = \{x \in X : x = \overline{x}\}$ and $X_2 = \{x \in X : x = -\overline{x}\}$. It is not hard to see that X_1 and X_2 are real subspaces of X, $X_2 = iX_1$, and $X = X_1 \oplus X_2$. Since $\overline{\cdot}$ is a conjugation we can easily see that $(x|y) = (\overline{y}|\overline{x})$ for all $x, y \in X$. Therefore, if $x_1, y_1 \in X_1$ and $x_2, y_2 \in X_2$ we have $(x_1|x_2) = -(x_2|x_1) = \overline{-(x_1|x_2)}$, $(x_1|y_1) = (y_1|x_1) = \overline{(x_1|y_1)}$, and $(x_2|y_2) = (y_2|x_2) = \overline{(x_2|y_2)}$. Therefore, $(X_j|X_j) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and $(x_1|x_2) \in i\mathbb{R}$. The underlying real Banach space $X_\mathbb{R}$ is a real Hilbert space with respect to the inner product $\langle x|y\rangle := \Re\mathrm{e}(x|y)$. Clearly, the real subspaces X_1 and X_2 are orthogonal with respect to the inner product $\langle .|.\rangle$, that is, $\langle X_1|X_2\rangle = 0$, and $\langle x_j|y_j\rangle = (x_j|y_j)$, for every $j = 1, 2$.

For $x_1 \in X_1$ and $x_2 \in X_2$, we have $\overline{x_1 + x_2} = x_1 - x_2$ and if $(x_1|x_2) = 0$ we also have

$$\|x_1 + x_2\| = \|x_1\| + \|x_2\|. \tag{16}$$

It is known that every spin factor X has rank two. We further known the precise form of minimal and rank two tripotents in X, more concretely,

$$\min \mathcal{U}(X) = \Big\{\frac{1}{2}(x_1 + x_2) : x_i \in S(X_i), (x_1|x_2) = 0\Big\}$$

and

$$\max \mathcal{U}(X) = \Big\{\lambda x_1 : x_1 \in S(X_1), \lambda \in S(\mathbb{C})\Big\}.$$

Every maximal or complete tripotent in X is unitary. Given a minimal tripotent $e = \frac{1}{2}(x_1 + x_2) \in \min \mathcal{U}(X)$, its Peirce-0 subspace

$$X_0(e) = \mathbb{C}\overline{e} = \{x \in X : x \perp e\} \tag{17}$$

is one-dimensional.

Let $v = \frac{1}{2}(x_1 + ix_2)$ ($x_i \in S(X_1)$, $(x_1|x_2) = 0$) be a minimal tripotent in X. It is easy to check that

$$X_2(v) = \mathbb{C}v,\ X_0(v) = \mathbb{C}\tilde{v},\ \text{and } X_1(v) = \{x \in X : (x|x_1) = (x|x_2) = 0\} = \{x_1, x_2\}_X^{\perp}.$$

We further know that

$$\begin{aligned} P_2(v)(x) &= 2(x|v)v = ((x|x_1) - i(x|x_2))v, \\ P_0(v)(x) &= 2(x|\tilde{v})\tilde{v} = ((x|x_1) + i(x|x_2))\tilde{v}, \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

and

$$P_1(v)(x) = x - 2(x|v)v - 2(x|\tilde{v})\tilde{v} = x - (x|x_1)x_1 - (x|x_2)x_2 \ (x \in X).$$

The projection $P_1(v)$ also coincides with the orthogonal projection of X onto $\{x_1, x_2\}_X^{\perp}$ in the Hilbert space $(X, (.|.))$.

Lemma 3.11. *Let $(v, u, \tilde{v})$ be a trangle of tripotents in a Cartan factor C, where v and $\tilde{v}$ are minimal. Let $w = \frac{1}{2}(v + u + \tilde{v})$, $w = \frac{1}{2}(v - u + \tilde{v})$, $\widehat{u} = v - \tilde{v}$. Suppose α, β, δ are complex numbers with $|\alpha|^2 + 2|\beta|^2 + |\delta|^2 = 1$, and $\alpha\delta = \beta^2$. Let x be an element in C such that $\|x\| \leq 1$,*

$$P_2(v)(x) = \alpha v,\ P_2(\tilde{v})(x) = \delta\tilde{v},\ P_2(w)(x) = \frac{\alpha + 2\beta + \delta}{2}w,$$

$$\text{and } P_2(\tilde{w})(x) = \frac{\alpha - 2\beta + \delta}{2}\tilde{w}.$$

Then, for the minimal tripotent $e = \alpha v + \beta u + \delta\tilde{v}$, we have $x = e + P_0(e)(x)$.

Proof. By [23, Theorem 4·10] (see also [18, Lemma 2.7]), $C_2(v+\tilde{v})$ is (isometrically isomorphic to) a spin factor. Let X denote this spin factor $C_2(v + \tilde{v}) = C_2(v) \oplus C_2(\tilde{v}) \oplus C_1(v) \cap C_1(\tilde{v})$. Let us observe that $(w, \widehat{u}, \tilde{w})$ is a trangle in C with $w, \tilde{w}$ minimal.

Let $\overline{\cdot}$ and $(.|.)$ denote the involution and the inner product of X, respectively. We shall keep the notation given before this lemma.

Since $v, \tilde{v}, u \in C_2(v + \tilde{v}) = X$ we can assume that $v = \frac{1}{2}(x_1 + ix_2)$, $\tilde{v} = \frac{1}{2}(x_1 - ix_2) = \overline{v}$, and $u = ix_3$, where $x_i \in S(X_1)$, $(x_1|x_2) = 0$, $(x_1|x_3) = 0$, and $(x_2|x_3) = 0$.

Let $y = P_2(v + \tilde{v})(x)$. Clearly $\|y\| \leq 1$. By hypothesis

$$P_2(v)(y) = \alpha v,\ P_2(\tilde{v})(y) = \delta\tilde{v},\ P_2(w)(y) = \frac{\alpha + 2\beta + \delta}{2}w,$$

$$\text{and } P_2(\tilde{w})(y) = \frac{\alpha - 2\beta + \delta}{2}\tilde{w}.$$

Applying the identities in (18), we deduce from the last four equalities that

$$(y|x_1) = \frac{\alpha + \delta}{2},\ (y|x_2) = i\frac{\alpha - \delta}{2},\ \text{and } (y|x_3) = i\beta. \tag{19}$$

Let H be the (complex) subspace of X generated by x_1, x_2 and x_3. And let $P : X \to H$ be the orthogonal projection of the Hilbert space $(X, (.|.)$ onto H. Since $\overline{H} = H$, it follows from [21, Remark 7] that

$$\max\{\|P(z)\|, \|(I - P)(z)\|\} \leq \|z\|,$$

for every $z \in X$. Moreover, $\|z\| = \|P(z)\|$ if and only if $z = P(z)$.

We have shown in (19) that

$$P(y) = \frac{\alpha + \delta}{2} x_1 + i\frac{\alpha - \delta}{2} x_2 + i\beta x_3 = \alpha v + \beta u + \delta \tilde{v}.$$

Since, by the hypothesis on α, β, δ we have

$$1 \geq \|y\| \geq \|P(y)\| = \|\alpha v + \beta u + \delta \tilde{v}\| = 1,$$

we conclude that $P(y) = y$, and hence $P_2(v + \tilde{v})(x) = y = \alpha v + \beta u + \delta \tilde{v}$.

Finally, the element $e = \alpha v + \beta u + \delta \tilde{v}$ is a minimal tripotent in the spin factor $X = C_2(v + \tilde{v})$ with $P_2(e)(x) = P_2(e)(y) = e$. The conditions $1 \geq \|x\|$, $P_2(e)(x) = e$ imply, via [19, Lemma 1.6], that $P_1(e)(x) = 0$, and hence $x = e + P_0(e)(x)$. □

Our next theorem contains a key technical theorem needed for the main results of this note.

Theorem 3.12. *Let $f : S(C) \to S(C')$ be a surjective isometry between elementary JB*-triples with rank$(C) \geq 2$. The following statements hold:*

(a) *If (v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4) is a quadrangle of minimal tripotents in C and $f(iv_1) = if(v_1)$ (respectively, $f(iv_1) = -if(v_1)$), then*

$$f(\alpha v_1 + \beta v_2 + \gamma v_4 + \delta v_3) = \alpha f(v_1) + \beta f(v_2) + \gamma f(v_4) + \delta f(v_3)$$

(respectively,

$$f(\alpha v_1 + \beta v_2 + \gamma v_4 + \delta v_3) = \overline{\alpha} f(v_1) + \overline{\beta} f(v_2) + \overline{\gamma} f(v_4) + \overline{\delta} f(v_3)),$$

for all $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 + |\gamma|^2 + |\delta|^2 = 1$, $\alpha\delta = \beta\gamma$;

(b) *If $(v, u, \tilde{v})$ is a trangle, with $v, \tilde{v} \in C$ minimal tripotents, $u \in C$ a rank two tripotent, and $f(iv) = if(v)$ (respectively, $f(iv) = -if(v)$), then*

$$f(\alpha v + \beta u + \delta \tilde{v}) = \alpha f(v) + \beta f(u) + \delta f(\tilde{v})$$

(respectively,

$$f(\alpha v + \beta u + \delta \tilde{v}) = \overline{\alpha} f(v) + \overline{\beta} f(u) + \overline{\delta} f(\tilde{v})),$$

for all $\alpha, \beta, \delta \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\alpha|^2 + 2|\beta|^2 + |\delta|^2 = 1$, $\alpha\delta = \beta^2$.

Proof. (a) We assume $f(iv_1) = if(v_1)$ (the case $f(iv_1) = -if(v_1)$ follows similarly). Let $e = \alpha v_1 + \beta v_2 + \gamma v_4 + \delta v_3$. By Theorem 2.3, $f(e)$ is a minimal tripotent and $f(-e) = -f(e)$. By Lemma 3.10 one of the following statements holds:

(1) There exist minimal tripotents w_2, w_3, w_4 in C', and complex numbers α', β', γ', δ' such that $(f(v_1), w_2, w_3, w_4)$ is a quadrangle, $|\alpha'|^2 + |\beta'|^2 + |\gamma'|^2 + |\delta'|^2 = 1$, $\alpha'\delta' = \beta'\gamma'$, and $f(e) = \alpha' f(v_1) + \beta' w_2 + \gamma' w_4 + \delta' w_3$;

(2) There exist a minimal tripotent $\tilde{v} \in C'$, a rank two tripotent $u \in C'$, and complex numbers α', β', δ' such that $(f(v_1), u, \tilde{v})$ is a trangle, $|\alpha'|^2 + 2|\beta'|^2 + |\delta'|^2 = 1$, $\alpha'\delta' = (\beta')^2$, and $f(e) = \alpha' f(v_1) + \beta' u + \delta' \tilde{v}$.

We shall first deal with case (1). By hypothesis $\|v_1 \pm e\| = \|f(v_1) \pm f(e)\|$. Applying Proposition 3.3 we obtain:

$$(1 - \Re\mathrm{e}\alpha) + \sqrt{(1 - \Re\mathrm{e}\alpha)^2 - |\delta|^2} = \|v_1 - e\|^2 \tag{20}$$
$$= \|f(v_1) - f(e)\|^2 = (1 - \Re\mathrm{e}\alpha') + \sqrt{(1 - \Re\mathrm{e}\alpha')^2 - |\delta'|^2}$$

and

$$(1 + \Re\mathrm{e}\alpha) + \sqrt{(1 + \Re\mathrm{e}\alpha)^2 - |\delta|^2} = \|v_1 + e\|^2$$
$$= \|f(v_1) + f(e)\|^2 = (1 + \Re\mathrm{e}\alpha') + \sqrt{(1 + \Re\mathrm{e}\alpha')^2 - |\delta'|^2},$$

that is,

$$(\Re\mathrm{e}\alpha' - \Re\mathrm{e}\alpha) + \sqrt{(1 - \Re\mathrm{e}\alpha)^2 - |\delta|^2} = \sqrt{(1 - \Re\mathrm{e}\alpha')^2 - |\delta'|^2}, \tag{21}$$

and

$$(\Re\mathrm{e}\alpha - \Re\mathrm{e}\alpha') + \sqrt{(1 + \Re\mathrm{e}\alpha)^2 - |\delta|^2} = \sqrt{(1 + \Re\mathrm{e}\alpha')^2 - |\delta'|^2}. \tag{22}$$

It is not hard to check that the unique solution to the system formed by (21) and (22) is

$$|\delta'| = |\delta| = 0, \text{ or } \Re\mathrm{e}\alpha = \Re\mathrm{e}\alpha' \text{ and } |\delta'| = |\delta|.$$

In the case $|\delta'| = |\delta| = 0$, it follows from (20) that $\Re\mathrm{e}\alpha = \Re\mathrm{e}\alpha'$. We have therefore shown that

$$\Re\mathrm{e}\alpha = \Re\mathrm{e}\alpha' \text{ and } |\delta'| = |\delta|.$$

Now, Proposition 3.3 and the hypothesis give

$$(1 \pm \Im\mathrm{m}\alpha) + \sqrt{(1 \pm \Im\mathrm{m}\alpha)^2 - |\delta|^2} = \|v_1 \pm ie\|^2 = \|i(v_1 \pm ie)\|^2 = \|iv_1 \mp e\|^2$$
$$= \|f(iv_1) \mp f(e)\|^2 = \|if(v_1) \mp f(e)\|^2 = \| - f(v_1) \mp if(e)\|^2 = \|f(v_1) \pm if(e)\|^2$$
$$= (1 \pm \Im\mathrm{m}\alpha') + \sqrt{(1 \pm \Im\mathrm{m}\alpha')^2 - |\delta'|^2}.$$

Arguing as above, we get $\Im\mathrm{m}\alpha' = \Im\mathrm{m}\alpha$, and hence $\alpha = \alpha'$. We have therefore proved that $\alpha = \alpha'$, and $|\delta| = |\delta'|$, and thus

$$f(e) = \alpha f(v_1) + P_1(f(v_1))(f(e)) + P_0(f(v_1))(f(e)), \tag{23}$$

with $\|P_0(f(v_1))(f(e))\| = \|P_0(v_1)(e)\| = |\delta|$.

We consider now case (2). The same arguments given in case (1) lead us to (23).

Since $f(iv_1) = if(v_1)$, Proposition 3.7(b) gives $f(iv_j) = if(v_j)$, for every $j \in \{2, 3, 4\}$. When in previous arguments we replace v_1 with v_2, v_4 and v_3 we obtain

$$f(e) = \beta f(v_2) + P_1(f(v_2))(f(e)) + P_0(f(v_2))(f(e)), \tag{24}$$

$$f(e) = \delta f(v_3) + P_1(f(v_3))(f(e)) + P_0(f(v_3))(f(e)), \tag{25}$$

and

$$f(e) = \gamma f(v_4) + P_1(f(v_4))(f(e)) + P_0(f(v_4))(f(e)). \tag{26}$$

Since $(f(v_1), f(v_2), f(v_3), f(v_4))$ is a quadrangle of minimal tripotents in C', and hence $\alpha f(v_1) + \beta f(v_2) + \gamma f(v_4) + \delta f(v_3)$ is a minimal tripotent in C', we deduce from (23), (24), (25) and (26) that

$$P_2(\alpha f(v_1) + \beta f(v_2) + \gamma f(v_4) + \delta f(v_3))(f(e)) = \alpha f(v_1) + \beta f(v_2) + \gamma f(v_4) + \delta f(v_3),$$

and since $f(e)$ is a minimal tripotent in C', Lemma 1.6 in [19] implies that

$$f(e) = \alpha f(v_1) + \beta f(v_2) + \gamma f(v_4) + \delta f(v_3),$$

which concludes the proof of (a).

(b) Let us assume that $f(iv) = if(v)$ (the case $f(iv_1) = -if(v_1)$ follows similarly). By Proposition 3.7(e) we have $f(i\tilde{v}) = if(\tilde{v})$ and $f(iu) = if(u)$. Let e denote $\alpha v + \beta u + \delta\tilde{v}$. As before, $f(e)$ is a minimal tripotent and $f(-e) = -f(e)$ (compare Theorem 2.3), and by Lemma 3.10 one of the following statements holds:

(1) There exist minimal tripotents w_2, w_3, w_4 in C', and complex numbers α', β', γ', δ' such that $(f(v), w_2, w_3, w_4)$ is a quadrangle, $|\alpha'|^2+|\beta'|^2+|\gamma'|^2+|\delta'|^2 = 1$, $\alpha'\delta' = \beta'\gamma'$, and $f(e) = \alpha' f(v) + \beta' w_2 + \gamma' w_4 + \delta' w_3$;

(2) There exist a minimal tripotent $\tilde{w} \in C'$, a rank two tripotent $u \in C'$, and complex numbers α', β', δ' such that $(f(v), u, \tilde{w})$ is a trangle, $|\alpha'|^2 + 2|\beta'|^2 + |\delta'|^2 = 1$, $\alpha'\delta' = (\beta')^2$, and $f(e) = \alpha' f(v) + \beta' u + \delta'\tilde{w}$.

In case (1), arguing as above we get

$$(1 \mp \Re\mathrm{e}\alpha) + \sqrt{(1 \mp \Re\mathrm{e}\alpha)^2 - |\delta|^2} = \|v \mp e\|^2$$
$$= \|f(v) \mp f(e)\|^2 = (1 \mp \Re\mathrm{e}\alpha') + \sqrt{(1 \mp \Re\mathrm{e}\alpha')^2 - |\delta'|^2},$$

from which we obtain $\Re\mathrm{e}\alpha' = \Re\mathrm{e}\alpha$. Repeating previous arguments, we also have

$$(1 \pm \Im\mathrm{m}\alpha) + \sqrt{(1 \pm \Im\mathrm{m}\alpha)^2 - |\delta|^2} = \|v \pm ie\|^2 = \|i(v \pm ie)\|^2 = \|iv \mp e\|^2$$
$$= \|f(iv) \mp f(e)\|^2 = \|if(v) \mp f(e)\|^2 = \| - f(v) \mp if(e)\|^2 = \|f(v) \pm if(e)\|^2$$
$$= (1 \pm \Im\mathrm{m}\alpha') + \sqrt{(1 \pm \Im\mathrm{m}\alpha')^2 - |\delta'|^2},$$

and consequently $\Im\mathrm{m}\alpha' = \Im\mathrm{m}\alpha$, and $\alpha = \alpha'$. Therefore

$$P_2(f(v))(f(e)) = \alpha f(v). \tag{27}$$

In case (2) we also arrive to (27) with similar arguments to those given above. This discussion remains valid when v is replaced by $\tilde{v}$, and we therefore have

$$P_2(f(\tilde{v}))(f(e)) = \delta f(\tilde{v}). \tag{28}$$

Now, we set $w = \frac{1}{2}(v + u + \tilde{v})$, $\tilde{w} = \frac{1}{2}(v - u + \tilde{v})$ and $\widehat{u} = v - \tilde{v}$. The triplet $(w, \widehat{u}, \tilde{w})$ is a trangle in C and $e = \widehat{\alpha}w + \widehat{\beta}\widehat{u} + \widehat{\delta}\tilde{w}$, where $\widehat{\alpha} = \frac{\alpha+2\beta+\delta}{2}$, $\widehat{\beta} = \frac{\alpha-\delta}{2}$, and $\widehat{\delta} = \frac{\alpha-2\beta+\delta}{2}$. By the arguments given above we have

$$P_2(f(w))(f(e)) = \widehat{\alpha} f(w) = \frac{\alpha + 2\beta + \delta}{2} f(w), \tag{29}$$

and

$$P_2(f(\tilde{w}))(f(e)) = \widehat{\delta} f(\tilde{w}) = \frac{\alpha - 2\beta + \delta}{2} f(\tilde{w}). \tag{30}$$

Having in mind (27), (28), (29) and (30), and applying Lemma 3.11 to the element $f(e)$ and the triplet $(f(v), f(u), f(\tilde{v}))$ (compare Proposition 3.7(d)), we get

$$f(e) = \alpha f(v) + \delta f(\tilde{v}) + \beta f(u) + P_0(\alpha f(v) + \delta f(\tilde{v}) + \beta f(u))(f(e)),$$

and, by the minimality of $f(e)$, we deduce that $f(e) = \alpha f(v) + \delta f(\tilde{v}) + \beta f(u)$, as desired. □

Corollary 3.13. *Let $f : S(C) \to S(C')$ be a surjective isometry between elementary JB*-triples with rank$(C) \geq 2$. Then either $f(iu) = if(u)$ for every finite rank tripotent u in C, or $f(iu) = -if(u)$ for every finite rank tripotent u in C.*

Proof. Suppose there exists a minimal tripotent $e \in C$ such that $f(ie) = if(e)$, and let v be any other minimal tripotent in C. By Lemma 3.10 one of the following statements holds:

(a) There exist minimal tripotents v_2, v_3, v_4 in C, and complex numbers α, β, γ, δ such that (e, v_2, v_3, v_4) is a quadrangle, $|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 + |\gamma|^2 + |\delta|^2 = 1$, $\alpha\delta = \beta\gamma$, and $v = \alpha e + \beta v_2 + \gamma v_4 + \delta v_3$, and hence $iv = i\alpha e + i\beta v_2 + i\gamma v_4 + i\delta v_3$;
(b) There exist a minimal tripotent $\tilde{e} \in C$, a rank two tripotent $u \in C$, and complex numbers α, β, δ such that $(e, u, \tilde{e})$ is a trangle, $|\alpha|^2 + 2|\beta|^2 + |\delta|^2 = 1$, $\alpha\delta = \beta^2$, $v = \alpha e + \beta u + \delta\tilde{e}$ and $iv = i\alpha e + i\beta u + i\delta\tilde{e}$.

Both cases will be treated independently. Proposition 3.7 assures that

(a) $f(iv_j) = if(v_j)$, for every $j = 2, 3, 4$;
(b) $f(iu) = if(u)$ and $f(i\tilde{e}) = if(\tilde{e})$.

An application of Theorem 3.12 proves

(a) $f(iv) = i\alpha f(v_1) + i\beta f(v_2) + i\gamma f(v_4) + i\delta f(v_3) = if(v)$;
(b) $f(iv) = i\alpha f(e) + i\beta f(u) + i\delta f(\tilde{e}) = if(v)$.

The final statement is a consequence of the first conclusion and Theorem 2.3. □

Before finishing this section, we shall present another refinement of the Triple System Analyzer [8, Proposition 2.1 (*iii*)].

Lemma 3.14. *Let $f : S(C) \to S(C')$ be a surjective isometry between elementary JB*-triples with rank$(C) \geq 2$, and let e be a minimal tripotent in C. Then $f(S(C_1(e))) = S(C'_1(f(e)))$.*

Proof. Let e be a minimal tripotent in C, and let us pick a minimal tripotent u in $C_1(e)$. By the Triple System Analyzer (see [8, Proposition 2.1 (*iii*)]) either u is minimal in C and $e \top u$ or u is not minimal in C, $u \vdash e$ and the triplet $(e, u, \tilde{e} = Q(u)(e))$ is a trangle with e and $\tilde{e}$ minimal in C. Since in the first case, we can always find minimal tripotents e_3 and e_4 in C such that (e, u, e_3, e_4) is a quadrangle (compare the arguments in the proof of Proposition 3.7(c)), we deduce, applying Proposition 3.7, that $f(u) \in C'_1(f(e))$.

Since $C_1(e)$ has rank one or two (see [8, Corollary 2.2]), given an element x in the unit sphere of $C_1(e)$, one of the following holds

(a) x is a minimal tripotent in C (this happens when $C_1(e)$ has rank one);
(b) We can find two orthogonal minimal tripotents $u_1, u_2 \in C_1(e)$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $x = u_1 + \lambda u_2$ and $|\lambda| \leq 1$ (compare [3, Remark 4.6]).

In case (a), by the arguments the first paragraph, we have $f(x) \in C'_1(f(e))$. In case (b) we observe that, by the Triple System Analyzer, u_1 and u_2 are finite rank tripotents in C, and thus, Proposition 2.1 it follows that

$$f(x) = f(u_1 + \lambda u_2) = f(u_1) + T_{u_1}(\lambda u_2) = f(u_1) + \lambda f(u_2) \in C'_1(f(e)).$$

□

4. Synthesis of a real linear isometry

In a tour the force, T. Dang and Y. Friedman [8] and E. Neher [28] developed, independently, a complete theory on coordinatization theorems for the Jordan triple systems "covered" by a "grid". A *grid* in a JB*-triple E is a family of minimal and rank two tripotents in E built up of quadrangles of minimal tripotents or trangles of the form $(v, u, \tilde{v})$ with v and $\tilde{v}$ minimal, where all the non-vanishing triple products among the elements of the grid are those associated to these types of trangles and quadrangles. A typical grid in the Cartan factor $M_{n,m}(\mathbb{C})$ is given by the family of all matrix units.

The results in [8] and [28] prove, among other classification theorems, that every Cartan factor C admits a (rectangular, symplectic, hermitian, spin, or exceptional) grid $\mathcal{G}$ such that the elementary JB*-triple K associated with C is precisely the norm closed linear span of the grid $\mathcal{G}$, and C being the weak*-closure of K is nothing but the weak*-closure of the linear span of $\mathcal{G}$ (compare [28, Structure Theorem IV.3.14] or [8, §2]). A more detailed description of the grids will be given in subsequent results.

We can now state the main result of this section.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $f : S(C) \to S(C')$ be a surjective isometry between the unit spheres of two elementary JB*-triples with rank greater or equal than two. Then there exists a surjective complex linear or conjugate linear isometry $T : C \to C'$ satisfying $T|_{S(C)} = f$.*

The proof will follow from Theorems 4.4, 4.5, 4.6, 4.7, and 4.9 below. These results will be obtained by an individualized approach on each elementary JB*-triple.

Remark 4.2. Let $f : S(C) \to S(C')$ be a surjective isometry between the unit spheres of two elementary JB*-triples with rank greater or equal than two. By Corollary 3.13 we know that $f(ie) = if(e)$ or $f(ie) = -if(e)$, for every finite rank tripotent $e \in C$. In the second case, we can always replace C' with the complex JB*-triple C'' obtained from C' by keeping the original norm, triple product, and sum of vectors but replacing the product by scalars with the product given by $\lambda \cdot x = \overline{\lambda} x$ ($\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, $x \in C'$). Then the mapping $\overline{f} : S(C) \to S(C'')$, $x \mapsto f(x)$ is a surjective isometry with $\overline{f}(ie) = i\overline{f}(e)$ for every minimal tripotent $e \in C$. If there exists a surjective complex linear isometry $\overline{T} : C \to C''$ extending the mapping $\overline{f}$, then we can easily find a conjugate linear isometry $T : C \to C'$, $T(x) = \overline{T}(x)$, whose restriction to $S(C)$ is precisely f.

Remark 4.3. Suppose $f : S(C) \to S(C')$ is a surjective isometry between the unit spheres of two elementary JB*-triples with $\text{rank}(C) \geq 2$. Let e be a minimal tripotent in C and let $T_e : C_0(e) \to C_0'(f(e))$ be the surjective real linear isometry given by Proposition 2.1(c). If $\text{rank}(C_0(e)) \geq 2$, it follows from [7, Proposition 2.6] that T_e either is complex linear if $f(ie) = if(e)$ or conjugate linear if $f(ie) = -if(e)$ (compare Corollary 3.13). When $C_0(e)$ has rank 1 (and hence it is a complex Hilbert space regarded as a type 1 Cartan factor), every element in $S(C_0(e))$ is a minimal tripotent in $C_0(e)$. Therefore, it follows from Corollary 3.13 that T_e either is complex linear if $f(ie) = if(e)$ or conjugate linear if $f(ie) = -if(e)$.

Actually, if F is a JB*-subtriple of C, having in mind that a JB*-triple of a weakly compact JB*-triple is weakly compact, every element in F can be approximated in norm by a finite linear combination of mutually orthogonal minimal tripotents in F (see [3, Remark 4.6]). Moreover, every minimal tripotent in F is a finite rank tripotent in C. Therefore, given a bounded real linear operator $T_1 : F \to C'$ such that $T_1(x) = f(x)$ for every $x \in S(F)$, we deduce from Corollary 3.13 that T_1 either is complex linear if $f(ie) = if(e)$ or conjugate linear if $f(ie) = -if(e)$, for any minimal tripotent $e \in C$.

4.1. **Elementary JB*-triples of type 1.** We begin our particular study for an elementary JB*-triple C of type 1 and rank between 2 and 4. We are mainly interested in the case $C = L(H, H')$, where H and H' are complex Hilbert spaces

with $2 \leq \min\{\dim(H'), \dim(H)\} \leq 4$ (see Section 2), however the next result is established under more general hypothesis.

Theorem 4.4. *Let $C = K(H, H')$, where H and H' are complex Hilbert spaces with $2 \leq \min\{dim(H'), dim(H)\}$, and let C' be an elementary JB*-triple. Suppose $f : S(C) \to S(C')$ is a surjective isometry. Then there exists a surjective complex linear or conjugate linear isometry $T : C \to C'$ satisfying $T|_{S(C)} = f$.*

Proof. Let us first assume that $f(ie) = if(e)$, for every minimal tripotent $e \in C$ (compare Corollary 3.13). We deduce from Remark 4.3 that the operator T_e given by Proposition 2.1(c) is complex linear.

Let $\{\xi_i : i \in I\}$ and $\{\eta_j : j \in J\}$ be orthonormal basis of H and H', respectively. We set $u_{ij} := \eta_j \otimes \xi_i$, $(i, j) \in I \times J$. Then, the family $\{u_{ij} : (i, j) \in I \times J\}$ is a *rectangular grid* in C (compare [8], [28]), however we will not make an explicit use of the properties of the grid in this case.

To simplify the notation, we assume that $1, 2 \in I, J$. Let us consider the minimal tripotents $u_{11}, u_{12}, u_{21}, u_{22}$, and for each one of them the surjective real linear isometry $T_{u_{ij}} : C_0(u_{ij}) \to C'_0(f(u_{ij}))$.

We can decompose C in the form

$$C = \mathbb{C}u_{11} \oplus (C_0(u_{21}) \cap C_1(u_{11})) \oplus (C_0(u_{12}) \cap C_1(u_{11})) \oplus C_0(u_{11}).$$

Let $P_{10} = P_1(u_{11})P_0(u_{21}) = P_0(u_{21})P_1(u_{11})$, $P_{01} = P_1(u_{11})P_0(u_{12})$. The uniqueness of the above decomposition shows that the mapping $T : C \to C'$ given by

$$T(x) = P_2(f(u_{11}))(x) + T_{u_{21}}(P_{10}(x)) + T_{u_{12}}(P_{01}(x)) + T_{u_{11}}(P_0(u_{11})(x))$$

is a well defined bounded real linear operator.

Let $u = \eta \otimes \xi$ be a minimal tripotent in C with $\|\eta\| = 1 = \|\xi\|$. A concrete decomposition similar to that given by Lemma 3.10 can be materialized as follows: let us write $\eta = \lambda_1\eta_1 + \lambda_2\eta_0$ and $\xi = \mu_1\xi_1 + \mu_2\xi_0$, where $\|\eta_0\| = 1 = \|\xi_0\|$, $\eta_1 \perp \eta_0$, $\xi_0 \perp \xi_1$ (in the Hilbertian sense), $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\lambda_1|^2 + |\lambda_2|^2 = 1$, and $|\mu_1|^2 + |\mu_2|^2 = 1$. Thus, we have

$$u = \lambda_1\overline{\mu}_1 u_{11} + \lambda_1\overline{\mu}_2 w_{12} + \lambda_2\overline{\mu}_1 w_{21} + \lambda_2\overline{\mu}_2 w_0,$$

where $w_{12} = \eta_1 \otimes \xi_0$, $w_{21} = \eta_0 \otimes \xi_1$, $w_0 = \eta_0 \otimes \xi_0$, where $(u_{11}, w_{12}, w_0, w_{21})$ is a quadrangle of minimal tripotents, $w_{12} \in C_1(u_{11}) \cap C_0(u_{21})$, $w_{21} \in C_1(u_{11}) \cap C_0(u_{12})$, $w_0 \in C_0(u_{11})$. We are in position to apply Theorem 3.12(a) and the complex linearity of $T(u_{11})$, $T(u_{12})$, and $T(u_{21})$ to deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} f(u) &= \lambda_1\overline{\mu}_1 f(u_{11}) + \lambda_1\overline{\mu}_2 f(w_{12}) + \lambda_2\overline{\mu}_1 f(w_{21}) + \lambda_2\overline{\mu}_2 f(w_0) \\ &= \lambda_1\overline{\mu}_1 T(u_{11}) + \lambda_1\overline{\mu}_2 T_{u_{21}}(w_{12}) + \lambda_2\overline{\mu}_1 T_{u_{12}}(w_{21}) + \lambda_2\overline{\mu}_2 T_{u_{11}}(w_0) \\ &= T(\lambda_1\overline{\mu}_1 u_{11}) + T_{u_{21}}(\lambda_1\overline{\mu}_2 w_{12}) + T_{u_{12}}(\lambda_2\overline{\mu}_1 w_{21}) + T_{u_{11}}(\lambda_2\overline{\mu}_2 w_0) \\ &= T(\lambda_1\overline{\mu}_1 u_{11}) + T(\lambda_1\overline{\mu}_2 w_{12}) + T(\lambda_2\overline{\mu}_1 w_{21}) + T(\lambda_2\overline{\mu}_2 w_0) = T(u). \end{aligned}$$

We observe that T is actually complex linear.

We have therefore shown that $f(u) = T(u)$, for every minimal tripotent $u \in C$. Proposition 3.9 in [29] concludes that $T|_{S(C)} = f$.

Finally, if $f(ie) = -if(e)$, for every minimal tripotent $e \in C$. Let $\overline{f}$, and C'' be the mapping and the elementary JB*-triple defined in Remark 4.2. The arguments above show that we can find a surjective real linear isometry $\overline{T} : C \to C''$ such

that $\overline{T}|_{S(C)} = \overline{f}$. The arguments in the just quoted Remark show the existence of a surjective conjugate linear isometry $T : C \to C'$ satisfying $T|_{S(C)} = f$. □

4.2. **Elementary JB*-triples of types 2 and 3.** In the next results we deal with elementary JB*-triples of type 2 and 3 with rank greater or equal than 2. For this reason we fix a complex Hilbert space H, a conjugation $j : H \to H$, and the complex linear involution on $L(H)$ defined by $x^t = jx^*j$ $(x \in L(H))$.

Theorem 4.5. *Let $C = \{x \in K(H) : x^t = -x\}$ with rank$(C) \geq 2$ (i.e. dim$(H) \geq 4$), and let C' be an elementary JB*-triple. Suppose $f : S(C) \to S(C')$ is a surjective isometry. Then there exists a surjective complex linear or conjugate linear isometry $T : C \to C'$ satisfying $T|_{S(C)} = f$.*

Proof. As in the proof of the previous theorem, we first assume that $f(ie) = if(e)$, for every minimal tripotent $e \in C$ (compare Corollary 3.13). The case $f(ie) = -if(e)$, for every minimal tripotent $e \in C$ follows by similar arguments.

Let $\{\xi_i : i \in I\}$ be an orthonormal basis of H. Defining $u_{ij} = j(\xi_i)\otimes\xi_j - j(\xi_j)\otimes\xi_i$ $(i, j \in I)$, the set $\{u_{ij} : i \neq j \text{ in } I\}$ is a *sympletic grid* in the sense of [8, page 317].

The element u_{12} is a minimal tripotent in C and $f(u_{12})$ satisfies the same property (see Proposition 2.1). By Proposition 2.1(c), there exists a surjective real linear isometry $T_{u_{12}} : C_0(u_{12}) \to C_0'(f(u_{12}))$ satisfying

$$f(u_{12} + x) = f(u_{12}) + T_{u_{12}}(x),$$

for every $x \in \mathcal{B}_{C_0(u_{12})}$, and $T_{u_{12}}(x) = f(x)$ for all $x \in S(C_0(u_{12}))$.

By Lemma 3.14, $f|_{S(C_1(u_{12}))} : S(C_1(u_{12})) \to S(C_1'(f(u_{12})))$ is a surjective isometry. Let $p = \xi_1 \otimes \xi_1 + \xi_2 \otimes \xi_2$, $\tilde{p} = j(\xi_1) \otimes j(\xi_1) + j(\xi_2) \otimes j(\xi_2)$. Then p and $\tilde{p}$ are rank-2 projections in $B(H)$. The space $C_1(u_{12})$ is isometrically isomorphic to $B(p(H), (1 - \tilde{p})(H))$ via the mapping $a \mapsto (1 - \tilde{p})ap$. Applying Theorem 4.4 we deduce the existence of a surjective real linear isometry $T_1 : C_1(u_{12}) \to C_1'(f(u_{12}))$ satisfying $T_1(x) = f(x)$ for every $x \in S(C_1(u_{12}))$.

The uniqueness of the Peirce decomposition $C = \mathbb{C}u_{12} \oplus C_1(u_{12}) \oplus C_1(u_{12})$ and the real linearity of the mappings $T_{u_{12}}$ and T_1 guarantee that the mapping $T : C \to C'$, defined by

$$T(x) = T(\lambda u_{12} + P_1(u_{12})(x) + P_0(u_{12})(x))$$

$$= \lambda f(u_{12}) + T_1(P_1(u_{12})(x)) + T_{u_{12}}(P_1(u_{12})(x))$$

is a well-defined continuous linear operator with $\|T\| \leq 3$.

Let e be any minimal tripotent in C. By Lemma 3.10 one of the following statements holds:

(a) There exist minimal tripotents v_2, v_3, v_4 in C, and complex numbers α, β, γ, δ such that (u_{12}, v_2, v_3, v_4) is a quadrangle, $|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 + |\gamma|^2 + |\delta|^2 = 1$, $\alpha\delta = \beta\gamma$, and $e = \alpha u_{12} + \beta v_2 + \gamma v_4 + \delta v_3$;

(b) There exist a minimal tripotent $\tilde{u}_{12} \in C$, a rank two tripotent $u \in C$, and complex numbers α, β, δ such that $(u_{12}, u, \tilde{u}_{12})$ is a trangle, $|\alpha|^2 + 2|\beta|^2 + |\delta|^2 = 1$, $\alpha\delta = \beta^2$, and $e = \alpha u_{12} + \beta u + \delta\tilde{u}_{12}$.

Applying Theorem 3.12 and the definition of T we get

(a)

$$f(e) = f(\alpha u_{12} + \beta v_2 + \gamma v_4 + \delta v_3) = \alpha f(u_{12}) + \beta f(v_2) + \gamma f(v_4) + \delta f(v_3)$$

$$= \alpha f(u_{12}) + \beta T_1(v_2) + \gamma T_1(v_4) + \delta T_{u_{12}}(v_3)$$
$$= (\text{by Remark 4.3}) = f(\alpha u_{12}) + T_1(\beta v_2) + T_1(\gamma v_4) + T_{u_{12}}(\delta v_3)$$
$$= T(\alpha u_{12}) + T(\beta v_2) + T(\gamma v_4) + T(\delta v_3) = T(e),$$

or,

(*b*)

$$f(e) = f(\alpha u_{12} + \beta u + \delta \tilde{u}_{12}) = \alpha f(u_{12}) + \beta f(u) + \delta f(\tilde{u}_{12})$$
$$= \alpha T(u_{12}) + \beta T_1(u) + \delta T_{u_{12}}(\tilde{u}_{12})$$
$$= (\text{by Remark 4.3}) = T(\alpha u_{12}) + T_1(\beta u) + T_{u_{12}}(\delta \tilde{u}_{12}) = T(e),$$

respectively.

We have therefore shown that $f(e) = T(e)$ for every minimal tripotent $e \in C$. Proposition 3.9 in [29] proves that $T(x) = f(x)$ for every $x \in S(C)$, and hence T is surjective and isometric. We note that T actually is complex linear. □

We shall deal next with a Cartan factor of type 3.

Theorem 4.6. *Let $C = \{x \in K(H) : x^t = x\}$, where $\dim(H) \geq 2$, and let C' be an elementary JB*-triple. Suppose $f : S(C) \to S(C')$ is a surjective isometry. Then there exists a surjective complex linear or conjugate linear isometry $T : C \to C'$ satisfying $T|_{S(C)} = f$.*

Proof. The proof follows similar guidelines to the proof of Theorem 4.5. We may assume thanks to Corollary 3.13, that $f(ie) = if(e)$, for every minimal tripotent $e \in C$. The case $f(ie) = -if(e)$, for every minimal tripotent $e \in C$ is very similar.

Let $\{\xi_i : i \in I\}$ be an orthonormal basis of H. Defining $u_{ij} = (j(\xi_i) \otimes \xi_j + j(\xi_j) \otimes \xi_i)$ $(i \neq j \in I)$, and $u_{ii} = (j(\xi_i) \otimes \xi_i + j(\xi_i) \otimes \xi_i)$ $(i \in I)$, the set $\{u_{ij} : i, j \in I\}$ is a *hermitian grid* in the sense of [8, page 308].

Let $T_{u_{11}} : C_0(u_{11}) \to C_0'(f(u_{11}))$ be the surjective real linear isometry satisfying

$$f(u_{11} + x) = f(u_{11}) + T_{u_{11}}(x),$$

for every $x \in \mathcal{B}_{C_0(u_{11})}$, and $T_{u_{11}}(x) = f(x)$ for all $x \in S(C_0(u_{11}))$, whose existence is guaranteed by Proposition 2.1(*c*).

Lemma 3.14 implies that $f|_{S(C_1(u_{11}))} : S(C_1(u_{11})) \to S(C_1'(f(u_{11})))$ is a surjective isometry. The elementary JB*-triple $C_1(u_{11})$ has rank one, and hence, it is isometrically isomorphic to a Hilbert space. It follows from [10, Theorem 2.1] (see also [29, Corollary 3.15]) that there exists a surjective real linear isometry $T_1 : C_1(u_{11}) \to C_1'(f(u_{11}))$ satisfying $T_1(x) = f(x)$ for every $x \in S(C_1(u_{11}))$.

Remark 4.3 guarantees that T_1 and $T_{u_{12}}$ are complex linear because we have assumed that $f(ie) = if(e)$, for every minimal tripotent $e \in C$.

Repeating the arguments in the final part of the proof of Theorem 4.5 we deduce that the mapping $T : C \to C'$, defined by

$$T(x) = T(\lambda u_{12} + P_1(u_{12})(x) + P_0(u_{12})(x))$$
$$= \lambda f(u_{12}) + T_1(P_1(u_{12})(x)) + T_{u_{12}}(P_1(u_{12})(x)),$$

is a well-defined continuous linear operator, and $T(x) = f(x)$ for every $x \in C$, which concludes the proof. □

4.3. **Finite dimensional elementary JB*-triples.** Surjective isometries between finite-dimensional Cartan factors can be treated by a unified approach. We recall that Cartan factors of types 5 and 6 are finite dimensional.

Theorem 4.7. *Let C, C' be elementary JB*-triples with $\dim(C) < \infty$. Suppose $f : S(C) \to S(C')$ is a surjective isometry. Then there exists a surjective real isometry $T : C \to C'$ satisfying $T|_{S(C)} = f$. Furthermore, if rank$(C) \geq 2$ then T is complex linear or conjugate linear.*

Proof. We shall argue by induction on the dimension of C. If $\text{rank}(C) = 1$ the conclusion follows from [10, Theorem 2.1] (see also [29, Corollary 3.15]). If the $\dim(C) = 1$ the statement has been proved.

Henceforth, we assume $\text{rank}(C) \geq 2$.

Let us assume that our statement is true for any Cartan factor $\tilde{C}$ with dimension $\leq n$, and $\dim(C) = n + 1$. Let us pick a minimal tripotent $e \in C$. We can assume, via Corollary 3.13, that $f(ie) = if(e)$ (the case $f(ie) = -if(e)$ follows with similar techniques).

By Lemma 3.14 $f|_{S(C_1(e))} : S(C_1(e)) \to S(C_1'(f(e)))$ is a surjective isometry. Since $\dim(C_1(e)) \leq n$, and $C_1(e)$ being generated by a standard grid (see [28, §IV.3]) is another Cartan factor, we conclude from the induction hypothesis that there exists a surjective real linear isometry $T_1 : C_1(e) \to C_1(e)$ satisfying $T_1(x) = f(x)$ for all $x \in S(C_1(e))$. By Proposition 2.1(c) we can find a surjective real linear isometry $T_e : C_0(e) \to C_0(e)$ such satisfying $f(x) = T_e(x)$ for all $x \in S(C_0(e))$.

We define a bounded linear mapping $T : C \to C'$ given by

$$T(x) = T(\lambda e + P_1(e)(x) + P_0(e)(x)) := \lambda f(e) + T_1(P_1(e)(x)) + T_e(P_0(e)(x)).$$

The arguments given in the last three paragraphs of the proof of Theorem 4.5 can be now repeated to show that $T(u) = f(u)$ for every minimal tripotent $u \in C$. By [29, Proposition 3.9] we have $f(x) = T(x)$ for every $x \in S(C)$, which concludes the induction argument.

The final observation follows as a consequence of Remark 4.3. □

4.4. **Spin factors.** In this section we explore Tingley's problem for surjective isometries from the unit sphere of a spin factor into the unit sphere of an elementary JB*-triple.

The starting lemma shows that we cannot find a surjective isometry from the unit sphere of an infinite dimensional spin factor onto the unit sphere of a type 1 Cartan factor.

Lemma 4.8. *Let X be a spin factor, and let $C = L(H, H')$, where H and H' are complex Hilbert spaces with $\dim(H') = 2$, and $\dim(H) \geq 3$. Then there is no surjective isometry $f : S(X) \to S(C)$.*

Proof. Suppose we can find a surjective isometry $g : S(C) \to S(X)$. By hypothesis, we can find at least three minimal tripotents e_{11}, e_{12} and e_3 in C satisfying $e_{11} \top e_{12}$, e_{11} and e_{12} generate a 2-dimensional complex Hilbert space, and $e_{11}, e_{12} \perp e_3$ (take for example $e_{11} = \eta_1 \otimes \xi_1$, $e_{12} = \eta_1 \otimes \xi_2$, and $e_3 = \eta_2 \otimes \xi_3$, where $\{\eta_1, \eta_2\}$ and $\{\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3\}$ are orthonormal systems in H' and H, respectively). Let us consider the surjective real linear isometry $T_{e_3} : C_0(e_3) \to X_0(f(e_3))$ given by Proposition 2.1(c). The elements e_{11}, e_{12}, and $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(e_{11} + e_{12})$ are minimal tripotents in $C_0(e_3)$.

Since $f(e_3)$ is a minimal tripotent in a spin factor X, its orthogonal complement $X_0(f(e_3)) = \mathbb{C}\overline{f(e_3)}$ is a one dimensional complex space, where $\overline{\cdot}$ denotes the conjugation on X (compare (17)). The minimal tripotents $f(e_{11}), f(e_{12})$, and $f(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(e_{11} \pm e_{12}))$ belong to $X_0(f(e_3))$. So, there exist λ_1 and λ_2 in the unit sphere of $\mathbb{C}$ such that $f(e_{11}) = \lambda_1\overline{f(e_3)}$ and $f(e_{12}) = \lambda_2\overline{f(e_3)}$. The elements

$$f\Big(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(e_{11} \pm e_{12})\Big) = T_{e_3}\Big(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(e_{11} \pm e_{12}))\Big) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\Big(T_{e_3}(e_{11}) \pm T_{e_3}(e_{12})\Big)$$
$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\Big(f(e_{11}) \pm f(e_{12})\Big) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\lambda_1 \pm \lambda_2)\overline{f(e_3)}$$

must be norm-one, which implies $\lambda_2 = (-1)^k i\lambda_1$ for some natural k. We observe that, by Remark 4.3, T_{e_3} is complex linear or conjugate linear. Arguing as above, we prove the existence of a natural m such that

$$f\Big(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(e_{11} \pm ie_{12})\Big) = T_{e_3}\Big(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(e_{11} \pm ie_{12}))\Big) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\Big(T_{e_3}(e_{11}) \pm (-1)^m iT_{e_3}(e_{12})\Big)$$
$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\Big(f(e_{11}) \pm (-1)^m if(e_{12})\Big) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\lambda_1 \pm (-1)^{k+1+m}\lambda_1)\overline{f(e_3)}$$
$$= \frac{1 \pm (-1)^{k+1+m}}{\sqrt{2}}\lambda_1\overline{f(e_3)}$$

must be norm-one too, which is impossible. □

Theorem 4.9. *Let X be a spin factor, let C' be an elementary JB*-triple, and let $f : S(X) \to S(C')$ be a surjective isometry. Then there exists a surjective real linear isometry $T : X \to C'$ satisfying $T|_{S(X)} = f$. Furthermore, the operator T can be chosen to be complex linear or conjugate linear.*

Proof. If X is finite dimensional the conclusion follows from Theorem 4.7. We can therefore assume that X is infinite dimensional. Theorem 2.3 implies that X and C' are infinite dimensional rank 2 Cartan factors. Therefore C' is either a spin factor or a type 1 Cartan factor of the form $B(H, H')$, where H, H' are complex Hilbert spaces, $\dim(H') = 2$, and $\dim(H) = \infty$. Lemma 4.8 shows that $C' = Y$ must be an infinite dimensional spin factor.

As in previous results, we can assume that $f(ie) = if(e)$ for every minimal tripotent e in X (compare Corollary 3.13 and Remark 4.3). Applying that X has rank two and Theorem 2.3 we deduce that $f(iu) = if(u)$ for every tripotent u in X.

By a little abuse of notation, the involutions on X and on Y will be both denoted by the symbol $\overline{\cdot}$, similarly, we shall indistinctly write $(.|.)$, $\|.\|_2$, and $\|.\|$ for the inner products, the Hilbertian norms, and the spin norms on X and Y. The symbols X_1, X_2, Y_1 and Y_2 will have the usual meanings commented above, that is, $X_1 = \{x \in X : x = \overline{x}\}$, $Y_1 = \{y \in Y : y = \overline{x}\}$, $X_2 = iX_1$, and $Y_2 = iY_1$.

By the arguments in the first paragraph, we can assume that X (and hence X_1) is infinite dimensional.

In a first step we shall show that given x_1, x_2 in $S(X_1)$ with $(x_1|x_2) = 0$ we have

$$f(x_1) = \mu_1 y_1, f(x_2) = \mu_2 y_2,$$

for suitable $y_1, y_2 \in S(Y_1)$, $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathbb{C}$, with $|\mu_j| = 1$, $\mu_2 \in \{\pm\mu_1\}$, and $(y_1|y_2) = 0$. Indeed, it is known that, for each $j = 1, 2$, x_j is a rank two tripotent which is

also unitary in X (see the remarks before Lemma 4.8). By Theorem 2.3 $f(x_j) \in \max \mathcal{U}(Y)$, and hence there exists a (unique) norm one element y_j in Y_1 and $\mu_j \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\mu_j| = 1$ such that $f(x_j) = \mu_j y_j$.

The elements $e = \frac{x_1+ix_2}{2}$, $\overline{e} = \frac{x_1-ix_2}{2}$ are minimal tripotents in X with $e \perp \overline{e}$ (compare the comments before Lemma 4.8). Since $f(e)$ and $f(\overline{e})$ are orthogonal minimal tripotents in Y, $\mu_1 y_1 = f(x_1) = f(e) + f(\overline{e})$ and, since we have assumed that $f(iu) = if(u)$ for every tripotent u in X, we have $i\mu_2 y_2 = f(ix_2) = f(e_1) - f(\overline{e}_1)$ (see Theorem 2.3). Therefore

$$f(e) = \frac{\mu_1 y_1 + i\mu_2 y_2}{2}, \text{ and } f(\overline{e}) = \frac{\mu_1 y_1 - i\mu_2 y_2}{2}.$$

Having in mind that $f(\overline{e}) \perp f(e)$ in Y, the identity

$$f(e) = \{f(e), f(e), f(e)\} = 2(f(e)|f(e))f(e) - (f(e)|\overline{f(e)})\overline{f(e)}$$

proves that $\frac{1}{2} = (f(e)|f(e))$ and

$$0 = (f(e)|\overline{f(e)}) = \frac{1}{4}(\mu_1^2 - \mu_2^2 + i\mu_1\mu_2(y_1|y_2) + i\mu_2\mu_1(y_2|y_1)). \tag{31}$$

Replacing $f(e)$ with $f(\overline{e})$ we also get

$$0 = (f(\overline{e})|\overline{f(\overline{e})}) = \frac{1}{4}(\mu_1^2 - \mu_2^2 - i\mu_1\mu_2(y_1|y_2) - i\mu_2\mu_1(y_2|y_1)). \tag{32}$$

Combining (31), and (32) we get $\mathbb{R} \ni (y_1|y_2) = 0$ and $\mu_1^2 = \mu_2^2$, and hence $\mu_2 = \pm\mu_1$.

In the second step we shall prove the existence of a complex number μ_0 with $|\mu_0| = 1$ satisfying

$$f(x_1) \in \mu_0 S(Y_1), \text{ for every } x_1 \in S(X_1).$$

For this purpose, pick a norm one element x_0 in X_1. As before, there exist $\mu_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ and $y_0 \in S(Y_1)$ such that $f(x_0) = \mu_0 y_0$. Let x_1 be any element in $S(X_1)$. We can find a third element $x_2 \in S(X_1)$ satisfying $(x_1|x_2) = (x_0|x_2) = 0$. Applying the first step to the pairs (x_0, x_2) and (x_1, x_2) we get

$$f(x_2) = \mu_0 z_2, \text{ and } f(x_1) = \mu_0 z_1,$$

for suitable $z_2, z_1 \in S(Y_1)$. This finishes the proof of the second step.

The sets X_1 and $\mu_0 Y_1$ are real linear closed subspaces of X and Y, respectively. Furthermore, the spin norms on X_1 and on $\mu_0 Y_1$ are precisely the Hilbertian norms associated to the inner products $(.|.)$, in other words, $(X_1, \|.\|) = (X_1, \|.\|_2)$ and $(\mu_0 Y_1, \|.\|) = (\mu_0 Y_1, \|.\|_2)$. We have proved in the second step that

$$f|_{S(X_1)} : S(X_1) \to S(\mu_0 Y_1)$$

is a surjective isometry between the unit spheres of two Hilbert spaces. We deduce from [10] (see also Corollary 3.15 in [29]) the existence of a surjective real linear isometry $F : X_1 \to \mu_0 Y_1$ satisfying $F(x_1) = f(x_1)$ for every $x_1 \in S(X_1)$. We define a (complex) linear operator $T : X \to Y$ given by $T(x_1 + iz_1) := F(x_1) + iF(z_1)$ $(x_1 + iz_1 \in X = X_1 \oplus iX_1)$.

Every minimal tripotent in X is of the form $e = \frac{x_1+iz_1}{2}$, where $x_1, z_1 \in S(X_1)$ and $(x_1|z_1) = 0$. The elements $u = x_1$ and $w = iz_1$ are complete tripotents in X. For each $t \in [0, 1]$ we have

$$\|tu + (1-t)w\|_2^2 = t^2 + (1-t)^2,$$
$$|(tu + (1-t)w \mid t\overline{u} + (1-t)\overline{w})|^2 = (t^2 - (1-t)^2)^2,$$

and hence

$$\|tu+(1-t)w\|^2 = t^2+(1-t)^2+\sqrt{(t^2+(1-t)^2)^2-(t^2-(1-t)^2)^2} = 1.$$

This shows that $[u,w] = \{tu+(1-t)w : t \in [0,1]\}$ is a convex subset in $S(X)$, and by Zorn's lemma, it is contained in some maximal proper norm closed face M of $\mathcal{B}_X$. We conclude, by Proposition 2.1(b), that

$$f(e) = f\left(\frac{1}{2}u+\frac{1}{2}w\right) = \frac{1}{2}f(u)+\frac{1}{2}f(w) = \frac{1}{2}f(x_1)+\frac{1}{2}f(iz_1)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}f(x_1)+i\frac{1}{2}f(z_1) = (\text{by definition of } T) = \frac{1}{2}T(u)+\frac{1}{2}T(w) = T(e).$$

Therefore, $f(e) = T(e)$ for every minimal tripotent $e \in X$. An application of Proposition 3.9 in [29] proves that $f(x) = T(x)$ for every $x \in S(X)$, and hence T is a surjective linear isometry.

We finally observe that if we assume that $f(ie) = -if(e)$ for every minimal tripotent e in X, then the above arguments show the existence of a conjugate linear isometry $T : X \to Y$ satisfying $f(x) = T(x)$ for every $x \in S(X)$. □

Acknowledgements Authors partially supported by the Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness and European Regional Development Fund project no. MTM2014-58984-P and Junta de Andalucía grant FQM375.

References

[1] T. Barton abd R. M. Timoney, Weak*-continuity of Jordan triple products and applications, *Math. Scand.* **59** (1986), 177–191.

[2] J. Becerra Guerrero, G. López Pérez, A. M. Peralta, A. Rodríguez-Palacios, A. Relatively weakly open sets in closed balls of Banach spaces, and real JB*-triples of finite rank, *Math. Ann.* **330** (2004), no. 1, 45–58.

[3] L.J. Bunce and C.-H. Chu, Compact operations, multipliers and Radon-Nikodym property in JB^*-triples, *Pacific J. Math.* **153** (1992), 249–265.

[4] L.J. Bunce, F.J. Fernández-Polo, J. Martínez Moreno, A.M. Peralta, A Saitô-Tomita-Lusin theorem for JB*-triples and applications, Quart. J. Math. Oxford, **57** (2006), 37-48.

[5] M. Burgos, F.J. Fernández-Polo, J. Garcés, J. Martínez, A.M. Peralta, Orthogonality preservers in C*-algebras, JB*-algebras and JB*-triples, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **348**, 220-233 (2008).

[6] Ch.-H. Chu. *Jordan structures in geometry and analysis.*, Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 2012.

[7] T. Dang, Real isometries between JB*-triples, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **114**, 971-980 (1992).

[8] T. Dang, Y. Friedman, Classification of JBW*-triple factors and applications, *Math. Scand.* **61**, no. 2, 292-330 (1987).

[9] S. Dineen, The second dual of a JB*-triple system, In: Complex analysis, functional analysis and approximation theory (ed. by J. Múgica), 67-69, (North-Holland Math. Stud. 125), North-Holland, Amsterdam-New York, (1986).

[10] G. Ding, The 1-Lipschitz mapping between the unit spheres of two Hilbert spaces can be extended to a real linear isometry of the whole space, *Sci. China Ser. A* **45** (2002), no. 4, 479-483.

[11] G. G. Ding, The isometric extension problem in the spheres of $l^p(\Gamma)$ ($p > 1$) type spaces, *Sci. China Ser. A* **46** (2003), 333–338.

[12] G. G. Ding, The representation theorem of onto isometric mappings between two unit spheres of l^∞-type spaces and the application on isometric extension problem, *Sci. China Ser. A* **47** (2004), 722–729.

[13] G. G. Ding, The representation theorem of onto isometric mappings between two unit spheres of $l^1(\Gamma)$ type spaces and the application to the isometric extension problem, *Acta. Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.)* **20** (2004), 1089–1094.

[14] G. Ding, On isometric extension problem between two unit spheres, *Sci. China Ser. A* **52** (2009) 2069-2083.
[15] C.M. Edwards, F.J. Fernández-Polo, C.S. Hoskin, A.M. Peralta, On the facial structure of the unit ball in a JB*-triple, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **641** (2010) 123-144.
[16] C.M. Edwards, G.T. Rüttimann, On the facial structure of the unit balls in a JBW*-triple and its predual, *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* **38**, 317-322 (1988).
[17] C.M. Edwards, G.T. Rüttimann, Compact tripotents in bi-dual JB*-triples, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **120**, 155-173 (1996).
[18] F.J. Fernández-Polo, J. Martínez, A.M. Peralta, Surjective isometries between real JB*-triples, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc.*, **137** 709-723 (2004).
[19] Y. Friedman and B. Russo. Structure of the predual of a JBW^*-triple. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 356:67–89, 1985.
[20] G. Horn, Characterization of the predual and ideal structure of a JBW*-triple, *Math. Scand.* **61**, no. 1, 117-133 (1987).
[21] F.B. Jamjoom, A.M. Peralta, A.A. Siddiqui, H.M. Tahlawi, Čebyšëv subspaces of JBW*-triples, *J. Inequal. Appl.* (2015) 2015:288. DOI 10.1186/s13660-015-0813-2.
[22] V. Kadets and M. Martín, Extension of isometries between unit spheres of infite-dimensional polyhedral Banach spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **396** (2012), 441-447.
[23] W. Kaup, Über die Klassifikation der symmetrischen hermiteschen Mannigfaltigkeiten unendlicher Dimension I, *Math. Ann.* **257**, 463-486 (1981).
[24] W. Kaup, A Riemann Mapping Theorem for bounded symmentric domains in complex Banach spaces, *Math. Z.* **183**, 503-529 (1983).
[25] W. Kaup, On real Cartan factors, *Manuscripta Math.* **92**, 191-222 (1997).
[26] W. Kaup and H. Upmeier, Jordan algebras and symmetric Siegel domains in Banach spaces, *Math. Z.* **157**, 179-200 (1977).
[27] P. Mankiewicz, On extension of isometries in normed linear spaces, *Bull. Acad. Pol. Sci., Sér. Sci. Math. Astron. Phys.* **20** (1972) 367-371.
[28] E. Neher, *Jordan triple systems by the grid approach*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, **1280**. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1987.
[29] A.M. Peralta, R. Tanaka, A solution to Tingley's problem for isometries between the unit spheres of compact C*-algebras and JB*-triples, preprint 2016. arXiv:1608.06327v1.
[30] D. Tan, Extension of isometries on unit sphere of L^∞, *Taiwanese J. Math.* **15** (2011), 819–827.
[31] D. Tan, On extension of isometries on the unit spheres of L^p-spaces for $0 < p \leq 1$, *Nonlinear Anal.* **74** (2011), 6981-6987.
[32] D. Tan, Extension of isometries on the unit sphere of L^p-spaces, *Acta. Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.)* **28** (2012), 1197–1208.
[33] R. Tanaka, Spherical isometries of finite dimensional C^*-algebras, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **445** (2017), no. 1, 337-341.
[34] R. Tanaka, Tingley's problem on finite von Neumann algebras, preprint 2016.
[35] D. Tingley, Isometries of the unit sphere, *Geom. Dedicata* **22** (1987) 371-378.
[36] R.S. Wang, Isometries between the unit spheres of $C_0(\Omega)$ type spaces, *Acta Math. Sci.* (English Ed.) **14** (1994), no. 1, 82-89.
[37] X. Yang, X. Zhao, On the extension problems of isometric and nonexpansive mappings. In: *Mathematics without boundaries*. Edited by Themistocles M. Rassias and Panos M. Pardalos. 725-748, Springer, New York, 2014.

Departamento de Análisis Matemático, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de Granada, 18071 Granada, Spain.
E-mail address: pacopolo@ugr.es
E-mail address: aperalta@ugr.es